



Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 08, Issue, 12, pp.7210-7216, December, 2017

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# DENTAL STUDENTS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE USE OF DIGITAL TEXTBOOKS; A SURVEY DONE AMONG THE STUDENTS OF A DENTAL SCHOOL IN RIYADH, KSA

\*1Afrah Alkhars, <sup>2</sup>Wala'a Almubark, <sup>3</sup>Abrar Almarzouq and <sup>4</sup>Shahzeb Hasan Ansari

<sup>1</sup>General Practitioner in Hofuf City, KSA <sup>2</sup>General Practitioner, I Braces Private Clinic, Hofuf city, KSA <sup>3</sup>General Practitioner, Care and beauty Private Clinic, Hofuf city, KSA <sup>4</sup>Lecturer Preventive Dentistry, Riyadh Colleges of Dentistry and Pharmacy, KSA

### **ARTICLE INFO**

## Article History:

Received 29<sup>th</sup> September, 2017 Received in revised form 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2017 Accepted 06<sup>th</sup> November, 2017 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> December, 2017

#### Key words:

Dental students, Digital resources, Dental curriculum.

### **ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** With lap-tops a required tool in many student armamentaria, electronic books in various formats often replace some or most textbooks required by instructors. For numerous reasons, including environmental preservation, cost savings, convenience, and flexibility, schools are selecting digital textbooks over printed books

**Materials and methods:** The survey designed with eighteen items that included closed-ended questions (yes/no or select one or all that apply options). The items were formatted and divided into four categories: 1/ students' computer use (experience and comfort); 2) students' study habits; 3) use of e-textbooks versus traditional print textbooks; and 4) general demographic information.

**Results:** Females exhibited higher mean scores than males regarding the usage of electronic resources. The comparison was statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** Digital resources have become very popular among the dental students and it has affected their grades in a positive way.

Copyright©2017, Afrah Alkhars et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

Electronic publications are rapidly replacing printed materials in personal, professional, and educational collections. Libraries have substituted many, if not most, titles in their journal collections with electronic subscriptions, and many books are now available in digital format. With lap-tops a required tool in many student armamentaria, electronic books in various formats often replace some or most textbooks required by instructors. For numerous reasons, including environmental preservation, cost savings, convenience, and flexibility, schools are selecting digital textbooks over printed books (Strother et al, 2009). Some feel that the use of computers and e- textbooks in the classroom opens up new potential for both teachers and school students. This technology has now penetrated the class- room to an extent previously almost unimaginable. The production of electronic access technology for the written word, such as net books, Kindles, iPads, and tablets, has accelerated the use of computers in the classroom with ancillary e-textbook technology. While use of technology in the classroom is gaining momentum, more needs to be done to re- move barriers to learning with technological tools. This is especially true in dental schools where inclusion of technology can assist dental students and faculty members

with the necessary tools designed to stimulate further learning (Ditmyer et al, 2011). Despite the advantages that e-textbooks pose, such as interactive features and accessibility on mobile devices, several barriers exist regarding implementation in higher education, namely non-standardization of the platform, limited use by students, and the unclear role of the instructor in adoption. Still relatively new, digital reading has grown slowly in United States higher education. Because of this, books developed and delivered digitally are still evolving and can vary widely. A universal standard called electronic publication (ePUB) exists; however, companies such as Apple and Amazon have created proprietary formats. Some etextbooks exist only in Portable Document Format (PDF, which is essentially an exact reproduction of print), while others offer interactive features such as quizzes, polls, and note sharing. Some e-textbooks are freely available on the web, while others must be purchased through traditional publishers. Students increasingly bring their own devices to the learning environment, and most students own at least two different devices (DeNoyelles et al, 2015). There is a considerable difference in the attitudes of dental students when attending their lectures when it comes to the use of digital textbooks. Students using digital textbooks spend less time in preparing for their lectures and classroom assessment as compared with the students using traditional books (Shepperd et al, 2008). It has long been assumed that the use of computers in the class rooms for various classes can be really helpful and supportive.

On the other hand there are people who also think that the use of e-books and computers should be banned in the field of medicines since it has proved to be deviating for them in several ways. This included playing games, sending emails and also exchanging messages. Similarly there have been several objections from students as well when it came to ebooks and computers as a source of studying. One of the major reasons was the fact that the students felt disconnected from what they were reading and more over it was also inconvenient for the eyes to be stuck in one place for so long (Salajan, Schönwetter & Cleghorn, 2010). There are few examinations that contrast impression of e-course readings with conventional printed version reading material. Scientists have announced irrelevant contrasts in the impact on course reviews. Just a couple of college understudies have revealed perusing the material when it is exhibited in electronic as opposed to print format and, all in all, evaluated the utilization of e-course readings less positively. While assessing therapeutic inhabitants' and understudies' reactions, a few specialists found that lesser specialists favored conventional assets to electronic choices (Gupta, White & Walmsley, 2004). This innovation has now infiltrated the classroom to a degree already relatively inconceivable.

The generation of electronic access innovation for the composed word, for example, net books, Encourages, iPads, and tablets, has quickened the utilization of PCs in the classroom with subordinate e-course reading innovation. Since data innovation has influenced numerous parts of human conduct, there is no motivation to speculate its impact on instructive practices would be any extraordinary. This move to expanded utilization of electronic ideal models is related with the development of another age of students. Ages Y/Z, characterized as those conceived from 1982 to 2002, have received e-innovation effortlessly. This age is described by expanded utilization of, comfort in, and recognition with media correspondences and computerized advancements. The individuals who have had a go at forbidding workstations from classroom settings have met with complaints from this age of understudies, who battle that their capacity to multitask empowers them to effectively and effectively take an interest with PCs in the classroom. The difference between the einnovation abilities of this age of understudies and current teachers has been found to make separation and estrangement among understudies. Therefore, the open deliberations proceed with: 1) do the understudies of today shape an unmistakable age in regards to dynamic learning, and 2) do learning systems should be on a very basic level changed to conquer any hindrance amongst understudies and instructors (Maria, Gianpiero & Giuseppina, 2010).

While utilization of innovation in the classroom is picking up force, all the more should be done to expel obstructions to learning with mechanical apparatuses. This is particularly valid in dental schools where consideration of innovation can help dental understudies and employees with the vital instruments intended to fortify further learning (e.g., separate learning, reenactments, and PC based evaluation). Such methodologies can give adjust to the more customary ways to deal with conveyance of learning materials. Analysts have discovered that while, when all is said in done, dental understudies endorse of e-learning as a way to supplement conventional learning, employees give off an impression of being more hesitant to acknowledge it (Andrew, Elizabeth &

Elise S., 2017). In spite of the fact that there is by all accounts general fulfillment with electronic assets, few examinations looking at utilization of e-course books in dental schools have showed up in the writing. Revelation and examination of proof based dentistry in dental schools might be upgraded with present and future innovations. Alongside the start of online learning in dental instruction, there is a desire that employees ought to incorporate rich mixed media with appealing instructive substance. Organizations, for example, Vital Source Innovations, Inc. (Raleigh, NC, USA) have particularly advertised e-reading material to dental schools. At present, a high level of dental schools utilize e-books, and numerous distributers have joined the e-course book generation temporary fad, with merchant information recommending that around 33% of all reading material in U.S. dental schools are currently totally digital.21 The motivation behind this examination was to recognize how the utilization of electronic innovation is advancing in dental training. All the more particularly, this clear examination looked to distinguish and evaluate dental understudies' states of mind about PC use as it identifies with their investigation propensities and utilization of e-course reading innovation (Nasim et al, 2015).

The utilization of PCs in dental and therapeutic instruction can be followed back to the 1970s where PC helped learning (CAL) was at first circulated on floppy circles and limited onto nearby PC systems. Be that as it may, CAL would now be able to be utilized for remove learning because of the more prominent adaptability gave by the web. This is on account of data can be put away on one nearby PC yet got to and shared by PCs around the globe. Initially, online innovation had impediments in regards to the transmission of substantial sight and sound records, yet this might be overwhelmed with the expanded accessibility of rapid web associations (Kimberly, 2014). Studies have demonstrated that understudies consider utilizing PCs in the dental educational programs as a powerful apparatus, as CAL offers many points of interest over customary strategies for learning. For instance, CAL enables understudies to work voluntarily and pace. It likewise permits the utilization of sound, recordings and movement to put data over. Many trust CAL can be utilized to help the dental educational modules to help defeat the issue of declining number of scholastic staff in UK dental schools. This is one of the fundamental factors that have driven the improvement of CAL in dental training (Amaury, 2004). In 1999, School of Dentistry at the College of Birmingham made the E-course site, a web based supplement to the dental undergrad educational programs. An examination by Walmsley et al., discovered understudies were happier with utilizing the Web than individuals from staff. Besides, understudies were exceptionally excited about having address material accessible on the web yet individuals from staff were less energetic. An ensuing report into the utilization of the prosthetics claim to fame subject on the E-course thought that it was extremely fruitful with understudies. Be that as it may, an absence of PCs for get to and deficient printing offices were the primary issues distinguished, which averted acknowledgment by understudies of such learning data (Nico, 2016). Since 1999, the E-course has advanced significantly and contains data from most regions of claim to fame instructing on the dental undergrad program. Data on the E-course incorporates program points and goals and downloadable address gifts. Activity is being presented in different parts of the course where it depicts clinical methodology, for example, non-surgical endodontic

and agent procedures. The E-course additionally has a component of intuitiveness, with different decision questions and on-line visas, which give input to understudies. Understudies and instructors can likewise talk about issues with each other, by means of the notice and informing sheets (2-way correspondence). This last advancement is still in its earliest stages as understudies are hesitant to impart their perspectives and staff is worried that such an action will add to their workload. Inside the School of Dentistry, the E-course can be gotten to from the PC bunch, which comprises of 17 PCs, all with Ethernet 10/100 Mb association with the web, and one laser printer. Additionally access can be gotten from the understudy basic room (three PCs, no printer). The Ecourse site must be gotten to by utilizing the Web Voyager web program. Past work has demonstrated that the E-course has been effective inside the strength showing zone of removable prosthodontics. However including an entire establishment moves it far from the aficionado to the inclusion of all educating staff. Such a change may raise various issues which should be considered when presenting such a culture change inside a dental school (HA & Newton, 2012).

#### Aims of the Study

- Determine the extent of digital textbook use among the dental students.
- Compare between male and female students

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A comprehensive review of the literature regarding student study habits, technology as a learning tool, and the use of etextbooks in higher education was conducted to determine valid items for inclusion in this survey research study. The survey designed with eighteen items that included closed-ended questions (yes/no or select one or all that apply options). The items were formatted and divided into four categories: 1/ students' computer use (experience and comfort); 2) students' study habits; 3) use of e-textbooks versus traditional print textbooks; and 4) general demographic information. Survey was organized using survey monkey and sent to the students using college emails. A total of 258 dental students responded to the emails. Collected data was subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS version 16. Analysis of variance was done to achieve the results.

## **DISCUSSION**

This study aimed to assess the prevalence of digital text book use among the dental students of Riyadh colleges of dentistry and pharmacy. It has been noticed that the current trend of students is to read from digital books as compared to the traditional books. Our major aim was to compare between males and females, however, we also made comparisons among the students with different GPAs (Marei, 2013). There were significant differences found between males and females. Females had a higher mean score when inquired about the hours spent on computer. Males exhibited higher mean scores regarding the comfort level of using computers before dental school. Female students showed higher mean scores regarding the hours spent studying and hours spent using text books. Males were higher in mean scores when inquired about printing electronic books to hard copies. On the other hand, females had higher mean scores when using their electronic

books to search for topics. These comparisons were statistically significant (Sundararajan, 2011). When compared the responses among the students with different GPAs, there were a number of statistically significant comparisons. Students with GPA less than 3 had higher mean scores when inquired about the comfort level of current computer use. Students with 4+ GPA had higher mean scores regarding hours spent studying, however students with GPA 3-4 had higher scores for hours using traditional text books (Aimee & Ryaan, 2013). There is a scope of improving this study by expanding the sample size and comparing between larger groups. Digital book options have a large number of supporters who trust that the utilization of PCs and e-course readings by instructors and understudies in the classroom will improve learning. Moving far from conventional reading material, nonetheless, has exhibited difficulties and protection among the two instructors and understudies. This examination found that, if given the decision, dental understudies would at present rather have conventional course books. While e-course readings are not new, they have had some trouble being acknowledged in advanced education. One upsetting finding in this investigation in respect to dental understudies' examination propensities was that while understudies were spending numerous hours every week contemplating, they were investing almost no energy utilizing or perusing from their reading material (Rick, 1988). In 1985, Schumacher and Waller noticed that peruses chance losing vital data when they can't cooperate with the content.

That is by all accounts the case in our investigation, with understudies demonstrating an inclination for utilization of conventional assets and a propensity to print e-course book materials for perusing and contemplating. This finding is like that of another examination in which 57.3 percent of the respondents demonstrated they lean toward printed copy to electronic course readings (Palak & Rashmi, 2016). While we feel that PC comfort is significant for understudies' readiness to utilize e-course books, that did not have all the earmarks of being the situation in this examination. A greater part of our reacting understudies detailed being permitted to utilize PCs in the classroom and to utilize their PCs to take notes and to think about. These outcomes were reliable with expected increments over the previous decade in millennial understudies in the dental school candidate pool and their positive introduction to the utilization of PCs. numerous in our accomplice said they invested a huge extent of energy consistently interfacing with innovation. Furthermore, understudies in the first and second a long time of dental school detailed utilizing the workstation more regularly than those in the third and fourth years. This error was likely affected by the move from a centralization of educational courses in the initial two years of dental school to clinical courses over the most recent two years in most dental schools. Notwithstanding, none of the respondents revealed taking notes the way it was done in the good 'old days (paper and pencil) regardless of whether they didn't report being awkward utilizing PCs or detailed practically no involvement with PCs when they entered dental school (Samaneh & Mehrak, 2012). Due to the move over the previous decade to Millennial understudies, it was normal that most understudies would be less inclined to go to addresses and more prone to appreciate electronic conveyance obviously materials. Be that as it may, there were no huge contrasts between understudies who favored perusing or concentrate from e-course readings and the individuals who favored conventional course books controlling for age.

Table 1. Mean scores with male and female comparison

| Gender |                | Hours<br>spent on<br>Computer | Comfort in use of PC Before | Current<br>Comfort<br>Level | Hours Spend<br>Studying | Hours Use<br>Text<br>Books | Take<br>Notes | Electronic<br>In College | Electronic<br>Difficulty<br>Use | Search<br>Topics | Digital<br>Books Class | Digital Search<br>Topics | Digital<br>Quizzes | Instructors<br>Incorporation<br>of references | Print<br>Hard<br>Copies | Purchase<br>Hard<br>Copies |
|--------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Male   | Mean           | 1.6728                        | 1.8827                      | 1.7222                      | 1.5741                  | 1.2037                     | 1.2778        | 1.1111                   | 1.7222                          | 1.7963           | 2.3333                 | 1.7037                   | 1.7407             | 1.7963  | 2.0926                  | 1.2593                     |
|        | N              | 162                           | 162                         | 162                         | 162                     | 162                        | 162           | 162                      | 162                             | 162              | 162                    | 162                      | 162                | 162   | 162                     | 162                        |
|        | Std. Deviation | .59845                        | .51542                      | .52574                      | .76252                  | .59123                     | .44929        | .31524                   | .52574                          | .67923           | .66873                 | .53323                   | .58507             | .52442  | .72921                  | .43959                     |
| Female | Mean           | 2.0312                        | 1.6875                      | 1.8125                      | 1.9687                  | 1.4375                     | 1.0000        | 1.0937                   | 1.6250                          | 1.6250           | 2.4063                 | 1.8438                   | 1.8125             | 1.8125  | 1.8750                  | 1.2581                     |
|        | N              | 96                            | 96                          | 96                          | 96                      | 96                         | 96            | 96                       | 96                              | 96               | 96                     | 96                       | 96                 | 96  | 96                      | 93                         |
|        | Std. Deviation | .63995                        | .58602                      | .63764                      | 1.16486                 | .66193                     | .00000        | .29301                   | .65293                          | .74339           | .49371                 | .56806                   | .46595             | .46595  | .60263                  | .43994                     |
| Total  | Mean           | 1.8062                        | 1.8101                      | 1.7558                      | 1.7209                  | 1.2907                     | 1.1744        | 1.1047                   | 1.6860                          | 1.7326           | 2.3605                 | 1.7558                   | 1.7674             | 1.8023  | 2.0116                  | 1.2588                     |
|        | N              | 258                           | 258                         | 258                         | 258                     | 258                        | 258           | 258                      | 258                             | 258              | 258                    | 258                      | 258                | 258   | 258                     | 255                        |
|        | Std. Deviation | .63708                        | .54983                      | .57040                      | .94993                  | .62751                     | .38021        | .30670                   | .57702                          | .70723           | .60951                 | .54955                   | .54397             | .50259  | .69171                  | .43885                     |

Table 2. Mean scores with gpa comparisons

| GPA    |                | Hours    | Comfort in | Current | Hours    | Hours    | Take   | Electronic | Electronic | Search | Digital | Digital | Digital | Instructors   | Print  | Purchase |
|--------|----------------|----------|------------|---------|----------|----------|--------|------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|----------|
|        |                | spent on | use of PC  | Comfort | Spend    | Use Text | Notes  | In College | Difficulty | Topics | Books   | Search  | Quizzes | Incorporation | Hard   | Hard     |
|        |                | Computer | Before     | Level   | Studying | Books    |        |            | Use        |        | Class   | Topics  |         | of references | Copies | Copies   |
| Less   | Mean           | 1.7692   | 1.8718     | 1.9231  | 1.4615   | 1.2308   | 1.2308 | 1.2308     | 1.9231     | 1.9231 | 2.0769  | 2.0000  | 1.7692  | 1.9231        | 2.1538 | 1.3077   |
| than 3 | N              | 39       | 39         | 39      | 39       | 39       | 39     | 39         | 39         | 39     | 39      | 39      | 39      | 39            | 39     | 39       |
|        | Std. Deviation | .58316   | .40907     | .48038  | .75555   | .58316   | .42683 | .42683     | .73930     | .62343 | .62343  | .56195  | .58316  | .62343        | .77929 | .46757   |
| 3 to 4 | Mean           | 1.7619   | 1.8286     | 1.6000  | 1.6571   | 1.4286   | 1.2286 | 1.0857     | 1.5714     | 1.6286 | 2.2286  | 1.8286  | 1.9429  | 1.8286        | 2.0857 | 1.3714   |
|        | N              | 105      | 105        | 105     | 105      | 105      | 105    | 105        | 105        | 105    | 105     | 105     | 105     | 105           | 105    | 105      |
|        | Std. Deviation | .59685   | .56257     | .49225  | .95905   | .69139   | .42193 | .28128     | .55222     | .59254 | .54167  | .44844  | .53401  | .44844        | .55668 | .48550   |
| More   | Mean           | 1.8559   | 1.7658     | 1.8378  | 1.8919   | 1.1892   | 1.1081 | 1.0541     | 1.7027     | 1.7838 | 2.5946  | 1.5946  | 1.6216  | 1.7568        | 1.9189 | 1.1351   |
| than 4 | N              | 111      | 111        | 111     | 111      | 111      | 111    | 111        | 111        | 111    | 111     | 111     | 111     | 111           | 111    | 111      |
|        | Std. Deviation | .69866   | .58706     | .64018  | .98490   | .56429   | .31193 | .22715     | .51513     | .81358 | .59358  | .59358  | .48718  | .49020        | .75239 | .34342   |
| Total  | Mean           | 1.8039   | 1.8078     | 1.7529  | 1.7294   | 1.2941   | 1.1765 | 1.0941     | 1.6824     | 1.7412 | 2.3647  | 1.7529  | 1.7765  | 1.8118        | 2.0235 | 1.2588   |
|        | N              | 255      | 255        | 255     | 255      | 255      | 255    | 255        | 255        | 255    | 255     | 255     | 255     | 255           | 255    | 255      |
|        | Std. Deviation | .64048   | .55268     | .57314  | .95227   | .63040   | .38197 | .29257     | .57941     | .70688 | .61183  | .55214  | .54070  | .49789        | .68694 | .43885   |

This could have been because of the lopsided number of understudies who were individuals from the millennial age (thirty years old or less) (George, 2016). Furthermore, a greater part of the reacting understudies detailed they would in any case go to address if not required regardless of whether there were discretionary electronic conveyance techniques. The greater part of these understudies detailed that they discovered class addresses accommodating and that they got new data not gave in their required reading material. Educators might not have been choosing course books that enlarge their addresses however rather were attempting to discover disparate data for understudies.

It could likewise be that educators were not basically assessing the reading material they were utilizing as they refreshed their courses. In either case, this could have been one reason a lion's share of the understudies revealed examining from their notes instead of from the allocated reading material (Patricia, Eva & Karon, 2014). The understudies in our examination revealed that while educators commonly allocated course reading readings, they likewise had a tendency to give understudies extra investigation materials. Most of the understudies revealed examining from eleven to over sixteen hours for each week and spending more than sixteen hours for each week in addresses, therefore setting aside a few minutes accessible for survey of course readings to some degree restricted.

Table 3. Anova for male and female comparisons

| ANOVA                                   |                        | Sum of Squares   | df         | Mean Square   | F      | Cia          |
|---|------------------------|------------------|------------|---------------|--------|--------------|
| Hours spent on computer before dental   | Between Groups         | 7.743            | 1          | 7.743         | 20.528 | Sig.<br>.000 |
| school                                  | Within Groups          | 96.567           | 256        | .377          | 20.328 | .000         |
| SCHOOL                                  | Total                  | 104.310          | 256<br>257 | .377          |        |              |
| Comfort level with computers before     | Between Groups         | 2.297            | 1          | 2.297         | 7.800  | .006         |
| dental school                           | Within Groups          | 75.397           | 256        | .295          | 7.800  | .000         |
| uentai school                           | Total                  | 77.694           | 257        | .293          |        |              |
| Current comfort Level with computers    | Between Groups         | .491             | 1          | .491          | 1.513  | .220         |
| Current connort Level with computers    | Within Groups          | 83.125           | 256        | .325          | 1.313  | .220         |
|   | Total                  | 83.616           | 257        | .323          |        |              |
| Hours spent studying                    | Between Groups         | 9.390            | 1          | 9.390         | 10.802 | .001         |
| riours spent studying                   | Within Groups          | 222.517          | 256        | .869          | 10.602 | .001         |
|   | Total                  | 231.907          | 257        | .009          |        |              |
| Hours use text books                    | Between Groups         | 3.295            | 1          | 3.295         | 8.616  | .004         |
| nours use text books                    | Within Groups          | 97.903           | 256        | .382          | 8.010  | .004         |
|   | Total                  | 101.198          | 257        | .362          |        |              |
| Take notes                              | Between Groups         | 4.651            | 1          | 4.651         | 36.637 | .000         |
| Take notes                              | Within Groups          | 32.500           | 256        | 127           | 30.037 | .000         |
|   | Total                  | 32.300<br>37.151 | 257        | .127          |        |              |
| Usa alaatrania haalta in aallaga        | Between Groups         | .018             | 1          | .018          | .193   | .661         |
| Use electronic books in college         | Within Groups          | 24.156           | 256        | .018          | .193   | .001         |
|   | Total                  | 24.174           | 257        | .094          |        |              |
| Electronic books Difficulty in Use      | Between Groups         | .570             | 1          | .570          | 1.716  | .191         |
| Electronic books Difficulty in Use      |                        | .570<br>85.000   | 256        | .370          | 1./10  | .191         |
|   | Within Groups<br>Total | 85.570           | 256<br>257 | .332          |        |              |
| []t                                     |                        |                  |            | 1.760         | 2 572  | 060          |
| Electronic books to search topics       | Between Groups         | 1.769            | 1          | 1.769         | 3.572  | .060         |
|   | Within Groups          | 126.778          | 256        | .495          |        |              |
| Di i ID I I I CI                        | Total                  | 128.547          | 257        | 220           | 0.62   | 254          |
| DigitalBooks use during Class           | Between Groups         | .320             | 1          | .320          | .862   | .354         |
|   | Within Groups          | 95.156           | 256        | .372          |        |              |
| D: '411 1 4 G . LT .'                   | Total                  | 95.477           | 257        | 1.102         | 2.060  | 0.40         |
| Digital books to SearchTopics           | Between Groups         | 1.182            | 1          | 1.182<br>.299 | 3.960  | .048         |
|   | Within Groups          | 76.434           | 256        | .299          |        |              |
| Di-it-1 b l t f O :                     | Total                  | 77.616           | 257        | 210           | 1.040  | 207          |
| Digital books to prepare for Quizzes    | Between Groups         | .310             | 1          | .310          | 1.049  | .307         |
|   | Within Groups          | 75.736           | 256        | .296          |        |              |
|   | Total                  | 76.047           | 257        | 016           | 0.62   | 003          |
| Instructors Incorporation of references | Between Groups         | .016             | 1          | .016          | .062   | .803         |
| in lectures                             | Within Groups          | 64.903           | 256        | .254          |        |              |
| D' II IC ' 0                            | Total                  | 64.919           | 257        | 2.054         | ( 002  | 01.4         |
| PrintHardCopies?                        | Between Groups         | 2.854            | 1          | 2.854         | 6.083  | .014         |
|   | Within Groups          | 120.111          | 256        | .469          |        |              |
| D 1 11 10 ' 0                           | Total                  | 122.965          | 257        | 000           | 000    | 002          |
| PurchaseHardCopies?                     | Between Groups         | .000             | 1          | .000          | .000   | .983         |
|   | Within Groups          | 48.918           | 253        | .193          |        |              |
|   | Total                  | 48.918           | 254        |               |        |              |

Understudies may have discovered that perusing from course books was a bit much for them to be fruitful in their classes, since it's getting late weights related with dental educational programs prerequisites. The connection between time administration issues and utilization of innovation among dental understudies ought to be investigated in future examinations (Smith & Oosthuizen, 2006). A fourth of the respondents in our examination who utilized e-course books demonstrated they obtained a conventional reading material notwithstanding when an e-course reading was given. Different analysts have revealed that, disregarding the points of interest touted about the utilization of e-course books and electronic media in the classroom, understudies still want to buy conventional reading material (Alison, 2010). A fascinating investigation of how understudies utilize program based electronic books proposed that understudies thought that it was less demanding to work with the print form because of its recognition. Those writers discovered understudies favored utilizing e-course books in a more nonlinear manner and perusing sections instead of cover to cover. This inclination is noteworthy when one considers that numerous course books in specific controls are intended to be perused in a straighter manner.

Correspondingly, our investigation found that a decent part of the dental understudies still wanted to print data from the ecourse books instead of read from the PC, with more than 50 percent detailing that they didn't read the reading material by any stretch of the imagination. In help of these discoveries, dental understudies taking an interest in a current report detailed utilizing e-course books for the most part to scan for particular words or ideas, however couple of understudies depended on their e-reading material to plan for classes or concentrate for tests/exams. Furnishing understudies with examine materials in electronic organization is less expensive and has wiped out the requirement for enormous printing. Along these lines, teachers have will probably furnish understudies with extra material through this medium than when they needed to pay for printing gifts (Riya, 2017). There were no generational contrasts in this specimen between the individuals who favored e-course readings and the individuals who favored conventional course books as for their perusing inclinations and examining inclinations. Be that as it may, this finding could be expected to there being far less respondents beyond thirty years old younger than thirty. The vast majority of these respondents were likely at or inside the Gen Y/Z gathering, which did not take into consideration illumination of generational contrasts (Michelle & Thomas, 2015).

Table 4. Anova with Gpa Comparisons

|                              |                | Sum of Squares   | df         | Mean Square  | F      | Sig. |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------------|------------|--------------|--------|------|
| Hours spent on computer      | Between Groups | .532             | 2          | .266         | .646   | .525 |
| before dental school         | Within Groups  | 103.664          | 252        | .411         | .040   | .323 |
| before dental school         | Total          | 103.004          | 254        | .411         |        |      |
| Comfort level with           | Between Groups | .401             | 2          | .201         | .655   | .520 |
| computers before dental      | Within Groups  | 77.183           | 252        | .306         | .033   | .320 |
| school                       | Total          | 77.584           | 254        | .500         |        |      |
| Current comfort Level with   | Between Groups | 4.385            | 2          | 2.192        | 6.989  | .001 |
| computers                    | Within Groups  | 79.050           | 252        | .314         | 0.969  | .001 |
| computers                    | Total          | 83.435           | 254        | .514         |        |      |
| Hours spent studying         | Between Groups | 6.277            | 2 2        | 3.139        | 3.530  | .031 |
| iours spent studying         | Within Groups  | 224.052          | 252        | .889         | 3.330  | .031 |
|                              | Total          | 230.329          | 254        | .009         |        |      |
| Hours use text books         | Between Groups | 3.277            | 2 2 2      | 1.638        | 4.227  | .016 |
| louis use text books         | Within Groups  | 97.664           | 252        | .388         | 4.227  | .010 |
|                              | Total          | 100.941          | 254        | .300         |        |      |
| Take notes                   | Between Groups | .919             | 254        | .459         | 3.203  | .042 |
| rake notes                   |                |                  | 252        | .439<br>.143 | 3.203  | .042 |
|                              | Within Groups  | 36.140<br>37.059 | 252<br>254 | .143         |        |      |
| T 1 1 1                      | Total          |                  |            | 4.57         | 5.520  | 004  |
| Jse electronic books in      | Between Groups | .914             | 2          | .457         | 5.529  | .004 |
| college                      | Within Groups  | 20.827           | 252        | .083         |        |      |
| 21 4 1 1 Dicc 14             | Total          | 21.741           | 254        | 1.700        | 5.551  | 004  |
| Electronic books Difficulty  | Between Groups | 3.598            | 2          | 1.799        | 5.551  | .004 |
| n Use                        | Within Groups  | 81.673           | 252        | .324         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 85.271           | 254        | 1 410        | 2065   | 0.50 |
| Electronic books to search   | Between Groups | 2.823            | 2          | 1.412        | 2.867  | .059 |
| opics                        | Within Groups  | 124.094          | 252        | .492         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 126.918          | 254        |              |        |      |
| Digital Books use during     | Between Groups | 11.042           | 2          | 5.521        | 16.555 | .000 |
| Class                        | Within Groups  | 84.040           | 252        | .333         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 95.082           | 254        |              |        |      |
| Digital books to Search      | Between Groups | 5.764            | 2          | 2.882        | 10.134 | .000 |
| Topics                       | Within Groups  | 71.671           | 252        | .284         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 77.435           | 254        |              |        |      |
| Digital books to prepare for | Between Groups | 5.570            | 2          | 2.785        | 10.218 | .000 |
| Quizzes                      | Within Groups  | 68.688           | 252        | .273         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 74.259           | 254        |              |        |      |
| nstructors Incorporation of  | Between Groups | .849             | 2          | .424         | 1.722  | .181 |
| eferences in lectures        | Within Groups  | 62.116           | 252        | .246         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 62.965           | 254        |              |        |      |
| Print Hard Copies?           | Between Groups | 2.283            | 2          | 1.142        | 2.447  | .089 |
| -                            | Within Groups  | 117.576          | 252        | .467         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 119.859          | 254        |              |        |      |
| Purchase Hard Copies?        | Between Groups | 3.123            | 2          | 1.561        | 8.592  | .000 |
| •                            | Within Groups  | 45.795           | 252        | .182         |        |      |
|                              | Total          | 48.918           | 254        |              |        |      |

There were a few constraints to this investigation. Since a dominant part of the respondents went to schools that right now utilize e-course readings, they may have will probably react to an overview with respect to e-course books and have made the information be skewed by this condition. While overview look into has turned out to be a decent ease technique for gathering a lot of different information, estimations must be solid and legitimate to produce trust in generalizability past the specimen contemplated. Crosssectional research examines have given a depiction of a particular point in time and given no sign of any arrangement of occasions. What's more, the utilization of intentional respondents expanded the odds that the example might not have been illustrative of the populace from which it was taken. It is conceivable that understudies who did not react were less inclined to utilize their PCs frequently. Indeed, even with the utilization of a substantial and dependable instrument, there could have been issues because of missing information, off base elucidation of inquiries, or deceptive reactions. Alert while summing up these examination results to other populace and setting is along these lines justified (Zhao & Kuang-yun, 2013).

In general, understudies discover the E-course valuable as a wellspring of data and feel that it is helping their learning background. By the by, essentially inquiring as to whether they feel the E-course has helped learning isn't a target measure of Ecorse's capacity to enhance understudy learning. There are few understudies in the third year who are not open to utilizing PCs and the E-course. In the surveys, one specific understudy had expressed that they discover the web 'troublesome and tedious to utilize' and asked for a 'manager exhibit constantly to help the individuals who battle'. This features one of the principle obstructions to utilizing CAL in training, i.e. understudies who can't utilize PCs will be truly distraught (Arezoo, Melika & Zahra, 2016). The greater part of understudies favor MCQs, data on clinical methods and supplemental data, i.e. understudies need material that can't be acquired from different sources, for example, address notes and course books. The remarks communicated by understudies additionally feature the appraisal driven culture of dental schools where material that will help in the examination are especially looked for after. The utilization of clinical pictures and movement on the E-course is a famous piece of the material. An examination by Plasschaert et al. concurred that CAL for understudies ought to incorporate content, sound and pictures. Strangely, an investigation by Swamp *et al.* discovered that when data is conveyed utilizing activity are a bit much and may really diminish the viability of the instructing material. Despite the fact that understudies like liveliness, not all individuals from staff will have room schedule-wise to figure out how to and create these movements. By and large, understudies communicated the significance of contact with individuals from staff and along these lines need the E-course to supplement as opposed to supplant addresses (Emilie, 2013).

#### **Conclusions**

- Females tend to use more electronic books and spent more time studying from them.
- Students having higher GPA used more electronic books and spent more time studying.

### REFERENCES

- Agrawal, R. 2017. Knowledge, attitude and perception of orthodontic treatment among dental students. *International Journal of Dental Research*, 6(1), p.3.
- AnnetKinengyere, A. 2007. The effect of information literacy on the utilization of electronic information resources in selected academic and research institutions in Uganda. *The Electronic Library*, 25(3), pp.328-341.
- Boechler, P., Dragon, K. and Wasniewski, E. 2014. Digital Literacy Concepts and Definitions. *International Journal of Digital Literacy and Digital Competence*, 5(4), pp.1-18.
- DeNoyelles A, Raible J, Seilhamer R. 2015. Exploring students' e-textbook practices in higher education. *EDUCAUSE Review*.
- deNoyelles, A. and Seilhamer, R. 2013. eTextbook access, usage, and beliefs: implications for adoption in higher education. *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 5(2), pp.189-201.
- Ditmyer MM, Dye J, Guirguis N, Jamison K, Moody M, Mobley CC, Davenport WD. 2011. Electronic vs. traditional textbook use: dental students' perceptions and study habits. *Journal of Dental Education*, vol. 76(6), pp. 728-738.
- F Marei, H. 2013. Would Interactive Textbooks take Dental Education to a New Level of Learning?. *Surgery: Current Research*, 03(01).
- George, R. 2016. Evidence Based Practice. *Advances in Dentistry & Oral Health*, 2(4).
- Ghahrani, N., Siamian, H., Balaghafari, A., Aligolbandi, K. and Vahedi, M. 2015. The Opinion of Students and Faculty Members about the Effect of the Faculty Performance Evaluation. *Materia Socio Medica*, 27(4), p.267.
- Gupta, B., White, D. and Walmsley, A. 2004. The attitudes of undergraduate students and staff to the use of electronic learning. *British Dental Journal*, 196(8), pp.487-492.
- Kang, Z. and Ting, K. 2013. Student Attitudes towards Teaching Methods Used in Universities the UK. *Review of European Studies*, 5(4).
- Martins, N. 2016. The textbook in curriculum development perceptions of students and academics. *South African Journal of Higher Education*, 27(6).
- Millar, M. and Schrier, T. 2015. Digital or Printed Textbooks: Which do Students Prefer and Why?. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 15(2), pp.166-185.

- Nieddu, M., Boatto, G., Pirisi, M. and Dessi, G. 2010. Determination of four thiophenethylamine designer drugs (2C-T-4, 2C-T-8, 2C-T-13, 2C-T-17) in human urine by capillary electrophoresis/mass spectrometry. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 24(16), pp.2357-2362.
- Nora, A. 2004. Keeping Students in Higher Education: Successful Practices and Strategies for Retention (review). *The Review of Higher Education*, 27(2), pp.287-288
- O. Falc, E. 2013. An Assessment of College Students' Attitudes towards Using an Online E-textbook. *Interdisciplinary Journal of e-Skills and Lifelong Learning*, 9, pp.001 012.
- Parsons, K. 2014. What Are They Thinking? Dental Assisting Students' Feelings About E-Books. *TechTrends*, 58(2), pp.78-86.
- Rahimi, M. and Yadollahi, S. 2012. Multivariate Effects of Level of Education, Computer Ownership, and Computer Use on Female Students' Attitudes towards CALL. *English Language Teaching*, 5(4).
- Salajan, F., Schönwetter, D. and Cleghorn, B. 2010. Student and faculty inter-generational digital divide: Fact or fiction?. *Computers & Education*, 55(3), pp.1393-1403.
- Sarani, A., Sarani, M., EsmaeliAbdar, M. and EsmaeiliAbdar, Z. 2016. Awareness, knowledge, and attitude of dentistry students in Kerman towards evidence-based dentistry. *Electronic physician*, 8(5), pp.2366-2370.
- Shah, P. and Venkatesh, R. 2016. Dental students' knowledge and attitude towards cone-beam computed tomography: An Indian scenario. *Indian Journal of Dental Research*, 27(6), p.581.
- Shepperd JA, Grace JL, Koch EJ. Evaluating the electronic textbook: Is it time to dispense with the paper text? *Teaching of Psychology*, 2008, vol. 35(1), pp. 2-5
- Smith, E. and Oosthuizen, H. 2006. Attitudes of entry-level University students towards computers: a comparitive study. *Computers & Education*, 47(3), pp.352-371.
- Spielman, A., Maas, E. and Eisenberg, E. 2017. 12-Year Use of a Digital Reference Library (VitalBook) at a U.S. Dental School: Students' and Alumni Perceptions. *Journal of Dental Education*, 81(10), pp.1243-1251.
- Spindt, H. and Edwards, N. 2012. An Excellent Textbook and Valuable Reference. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 27(3), p.169.
- Strother, E.A., Brunet, D.P., Bates, M.L., Gallo, J.R. 2009. Dental students' attitudes towards digital textbooks. *Journal of Dental Education*, vol. 73(12), vol. 1361-5.
- Sundararajan, S. G. S. 2011. Printed Books and E- Books Utilization Among the Professional College Students: A Study. *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 3(4), pp.216-217.
- Textbooks define our discipline. 2000. *Biochemical Education*, 28(2), pp.63-63.
- Weisberg, M. 2011. Student Attitudes and Behaviors Towards Digital Textbooks. *Publishing Research Quarterly*, 27(2), pp.188-196.
- Zimmerman, R. 1988. The Dental Appointment and Patient Behavior Differences in Patient and Practitioner Preferences, Patient Satisfaction, and Adherence. *Medical Care*, 26(4), pp.403-414.