

Available Online at http://www.journalajst.com

ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 08, Issue, 10, pp.6165-6172, October, 2017

RESEARCH ARTICLE

STUDY ON REMOVAL OF PHENOL USING ADSORPTION PROCESS

Ashish Kumar, *Vinita Khandegar and Sanigdha Acharya

University School of Chemical Technology, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Dwarka New Delhi-110078, India

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 22nd July, 2017 Received in revised form 14th August, 2017 Accepted 26th September, 2017 Published online 17th October, 2017

Adsorption, Zeolite, phenol, Isotherms, Kinetics.

Key words:

Wastewaters from various types of industries contain different types of phenols. Phenolic compounds are toxic substances and some are known or suspected carcinogens. Therefore it is important to remove phenol and phenolic compounds from contaminated industrial and aqueous streams before discharged into any water bodies. It is well known that adsorption is an efficient method for removal of various pollutants from wastewater. Several adsorbents have been used for treatment of wastewater and removal of phenolic compounds. In the present study, efforts have been made for removal of phenol from aqueous solution using zeolite as an adsorbent. The adsorbent potential was tested on batch synthetic solutions containing 1-5 (mg/L) phenol concentration at room temperature. The influence of solution pH, adsorbent dose, contact time and initial phenol concentration on the removal efficiency of phenol from water was investigated. A 94 % phenol removal efficiency was obtained for an adsorption time of 4 hr at 7 pH and 1 g of adsorbent dose. The experimental data were modeled using the Langmuir, Freundlich isotherms. The Langmuir model was found to best represent our data revealing a monolayer adsorption with a maximum adsorption capacity 1.19 mg/g at 25 °C, for 1 g of adsorbent dose and 5 mg/L initial phenol concentration. The kinetic data were analyzed using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order and intraparticle diffusion model. The results suggested that the phenol adsorption at room temperature was best represented by the pseudo-second-order equation. The study showed that the zeolite can be used as ecofriendly and effective sorbent for the removal of phenol from aqueous solutions.

Copyright©2017, Ashish Kumar et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Phenol (hydroxybenzene) is a colourless, crystalline substance of characteristic odour, soluble in water and organic solvents. The monohydroxy derivative of benzene is known as phenol. Phenol is recovered from coal tar from petrochemical industry. It is a colourless or white solid when it is pure. The odour threshold for phenol is 0.04 ppm, with a strong very sweet odour. It is very soluble in water and guite flammable. Phenol was one of the first compounds inscribed into the list of Priority Pollutants by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA, 1987). It penetrates the environment through vehicle exhaust, and it is used as a disinfectant and reagent in chemical analysis. Phenol is also formed as the result of chemical reactions that occurred in the atmosphere in condensed water vapour that forms clouds. Phenol and phenolic compounds are organic pollutants and also found in many industrial effluents such as synthetic resins, plywood

industries, paper and pulp, gas and coke oven plants, paints, coal gas, tanning, textile, plastic, rubber, pharmaceutical, petroleum and mine discharges. Relative fast degradation of phenol causes its concentration in waters exposed to strong anthropogenic pollution (Michałowicz and Duda 2007). The health hazards caused by phenol are given in Table 1. Spiridon *et al.*, (2013), reported *that* phenol and its derivatives are among the most frequently used organic compounds. They are the basis of the synthesis of many different products such as phenolic and epoxy resins, adhesives, pharmaceuticals, insecticides, pesticides, etc.

On the other hand, phenolic compounds are important contaminants of the wastewater generated by various phenol based technological processes: oil extraction and refining, coal conversion, textile and leather industry, plastics industry, paint manufacturing, olive oil production, etc. (Hameed and Rahman 2008; Polat *et al.*, 2006; Hamdaoui and Naffrechoux 2007; Kennedy *et al.*, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 2009; Lu *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the major source of phenols are municipal and industrial sewage, in natural waters and in some situations even in drinking water also. When drinking water is chlorinated, phenol, if present, is easily transformed into chlorophenols, compounds even more toxic than phenol.

^{*}Corresponding author: Vinita Khandegar,

University School of Chemical Technology, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Dwarka New Delhi-110078, India.

Table 1. Health hazards caused by phenol

Mode of Exposure Effect on health Inhalation Can irritate the nose, throat, and lungs Higher exposures may cause a build-up of fluid in the lungs. Ingestion of as little as 1 gram can be fatal to humans. Can also cause severe eye damage, including blindness. Oral, Eyes, Skin Irritating and corrosive to the skin Little or no pain may be felt on initial contact due to its local anaesthetic effect. Skin contact will cause the skin to turn white; later severe burns may develop. Rapidly absorbed through the skin; toxic or fatal amounts can be absorbed through relatively small areas. Chronic exposure Repeated or prolonged exposure to phenol or its vapours may cause headache, nausea, dizziness, difficulty swallowing, diarrhoea or vomiting.







(b)

Fig. 1. SEM Images (a) zeolite (b) phenol loaded zeolite



Fig. 2. XRD Images (a) zeolite (b) phenol loaded zeolite

The presence of these compounds generates an unpleasant odor and water taste (Yousef and El-Eswed 2009). Due to its high toxicity, solubility and low biodegradability, phenol is considered one of the most dangerous water contaminants having a high carcinogenic potential (Ming et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2009). Different studies have shown that phenol and its derivatives cause undesirable and harmful effects on animals and humans even at concentrations lower than 0.1 ppm (Saravanakumar and Kumar 2011). The usage of phenol contaminated drinking water affects the central nervous system, the cardiovascular and urogenital systems, also causing degeneration of proteins and erosion of tissues in the body. These medical conditions cause damage to the kidneys, liver and the pancreas. The side effects are various: convulsions, coma, cardiac disorders, respiratory failure and, at prolonged exposures, collapse (Hameed and Rahman 2008; Lin et al., 2009). The discharge limit of phenol is 0.1mg/l and 0.001mg/l by world health organization and environmental protection act respectively (WHO 1993; USEPA 1987). Therefore, phenol is taking as a priority pollutant. Discharge of phenol from various industries has been summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Phenol in various industrial effluents (Metcalf & Eddy,
Inc 2003; Busca et al., 2008)

Industry	Phenol concentration (mg/L)
Refineries	40-185
Coal processing	9-6800
Coking	28-3900
Petrochemicals manufacturing	200-1220
Textile	100 - 150
Leather	4.4 - 5.5
Coal conversion	1700 - 7000
Ferrous industry	5.6 - 9.1
Rubber industry	3 - 10
Pulp and paper	22
Wood preserving	50 - 953
Phenolic resin production	1600
Fiberglass manufacturing	40 - 2564
Paint manufacturing	1.1

A number of methods such as biological treatment (Lu et al., 2011; Bajaj et al., 2008; Moussavi et al., 2010), coagulation and electrocoagulation (Bazrafshan et al., 2015; Bazrafshan et al., 2016), ultrasonic degradation (Mahvi 2009; Maleki et al., 2007; Pandit et al., 2001), activated carbon adsorption and other adsorbents (Zarei et al., 2013; Mahvi et al., 2004; El-Naas et al., 2010; Dursun et al., 2005; Gurses and Yalcin 2005), membrane filtration (Bodalo et al., 2009), enzymatic treatments (Mao et al., 2006), solvent extraction (Juang et al., 2010), photocatalytic degradation (Mahvi *et al.*,2007), chemical oxidation and electrochemical methods (Canizares et al., 2002; Tahar and Savall 2009; Yavuz and Koparal 2006), are the most widely used methods for removing phenol and phenolic compounds from wastewaters. These methods have been found to be limited, since they often involve high capital and operational costs. On the other hand ion exchange and reverse osmosis are more attractive processes because the pollutant values can be recovered along with their removal from the effluents. Reverse osmosis, ion exchange and advanced oxidation processes do not seem to be economically feasible because of their relatively high investment and operational cost (Khandegar and Saroha 2013; Nazari et al., 2007). Efficient techniques for the removal of highly toxic organic compounds (phenol) from water have drawn significant interest. Among the possible techniques for water

treatments, the adsorption process shows potential as one of the most efficient methods for the treatment and removal of phenolic compounds from wastewater. Adsorption has advantages over the other methods because of simple design and can involve low investment in term of both initial cost and land required. The adsorption process is widely used for treatment of industrial wastewater. In the present work, application of zeolite is investigated for removal of phenol from synthetic solution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All analytical grade chemicals were commercially used without any further purification. Phenol (purity 99.8%, Sigma Aldrich), Deionized water (H_2O , home-made), zeolite (Qualigens, India). Sodium hydroxide (Merck, India) and sulphuric acid (Merck, India) were used to adjust the pH of the initial solution. Synthetic stock solution of phenol (100 mg/L) was prepared and solutions of lower concentration were made by dilution of stock solution with distilled water. The batch kinetic adsorption study was conducted at room temperature (25°C). A 250 ml of phenol solution was taken in 300 ml flask. A known amount (1 g) zeolite was added in flask containing phenol (concentration 1-5 mg/L) and agitated in a incubator shaker at 150 rpm for different contact time. After each experiment, the content from flask was taken separately and filtered. The residual concentration of filtrate was analyzed by standard APHA method UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi UV-2900) at wavelength 270 nm against blank. The phenol removal efficiency (PRE) was calculated using equation (1). All experiments were carried out in duplicate and the concentration of phenol retained in the sorbent phase (q_e, mg/g) was calculated using equation (2).

$$C_{\rm E} = \left(\frac{C_o - C_i}{C_o}\right) \times 100 \tag{1}$$

$$q_{e} = \left[\frac{\left(C_{0} - C_{e}\right)}{m}\right] \times V \tag{2}$$

where PRE = adsorption percentage (%)

 $C_o =$ Initial concentrations of phenol (mg/L)

- C_i = Final concentrations of phenol (mg/L)
- C_e = Equilibrium concentrations of phenol (mg/L)
- $Q_e = Equilibrium$ adsorption capacity (mg/g)
- m = adsorbent dosage (g)
- V = Volume of solution (L).

Zeolite characterization

Scanning Electron Microscopic Analysis (SEM) is one of the mostly used characterization technique applied for studying the surface morphology, properties, porosity and texture of the adsorbent. In the present study, the surface morphology of raw zeolite and phenol loaded zeolite after treatment of phenol containing solution were investigated. SEM analysis was carried out at room temperature by SEM-ZEISS-50 operated at 20 kV accelerated voltage and magnification of 16KX and 19KX. The crystalline structure of zeolite was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using (XRD - Philips Expert 1), with CuK α radiations of wavelength (λ = 1.5406 Å), generated at 30 Kv-30 mA. The scan measurements were

performed at 2 Θ range of 0-1025° with a scanspeed of 4°/min in sampling pitch of 0.02°.

Adsorption Isotherms

Equilibrium equation, commonly known as adsorption isotherm. For design of a adsorption system the isotherm study is a basic requirement. The isotherm provides information on the capacity of the sorbent or the amount required for removing a unit mass of pollutant under the operating conditions. For design of adsorption system, Langmuir, Freundlich isotherms were tested in the present study.

Adsorption kinetics

Equilibrium study is important for determining the efficiency of adsorption process. It is also necessary to identify the adsorption mechanism for a given system. Kinetic models have been exploited to test the experimental data. Also to find the mechanism of adsorption and its potential rate controlling step that include mass transport and chemical reaction. In addition, information on the kinetics of phenol is required to select the optimum conditions for removal processes. Adsorption kinetics is expressed as the solute removal rate that controls the residence time of the sorbate in the solid-solution interface. Several kinetic models are used to explain the mechanism of adsorption processes. In the present work, kinetic studies were carried out at constant pH 7 with an initial concentration of (1-5 mg/L) and adsorbent dose of 1g/L at room temperatures using pseudo-first-order, pseudo-secondorder and intraparticle diffusion model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The SEM images of raw zeolite and phenol loaded zeolite were recorded and shown in Fig. 1 (a) and Fig. 1 (b). It was found that, the zeolite consist of many sphere-like domains, with relatively uniform sizes of 1-2 µm, which are macrostructures. In Figure 1(a), the bright spots show the rough and porous surface of the adsorbent, which is one of the factors increasing adsorption capacity. The phenol loaded SEM images Figure 1(b) shows the adsorption of phenol on the zeolite and depicting the surface of phenol particles after adsorption, it is clearly seen that the sides of zeolite particle, pores and surfaces were covered by phenol and become large sphere. It is evident that the structure of zeolite has changed after adsorbing the phenol from the solution. The XRD patterns of zeolite and phenol loaded zeolite are very similar and indicating that the crystalline nature. The XRD results of raw zeolite and phenol loaded zeolite are shown in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b) respectively. The sharp diffraction lines at 1° and 1000° were observed in the both the samples. This pattern confirms the zeoliye has mesoporous structure of the pores.

Effect of pH of the solution

Experiments were conducted at different pH [3 to 9] to study the effect of pH on removal efficiency and the results are shown in Fig. 3. The pH of the working solutions was adjusted using NaOH or H_2SO_4 Solutions. Experiments were conducted at room temperature and the initial concentration of phenol was 1 to 5 mg/L, 1 g of adsorbent and 2 hr of contact time. It can be noticed from the Figure 3 that the phenol adsorption has a relatively similar trend for each of the considered initial concentration the results are in good agreement with other published data (Agarry et al., 2013; Spiridon et al., 2013; Agarry and Ogunleye 2015). It can be seen that removal efficiency significantly increases from pH range 4 to 7 and declines with pH above 7. Within the middle part, between 4 and 7, pH does not bring less significant changes of the phenol uptake by Zeolite. The possible reason for that trend is phenol-zeolite interactions (Yousefand El-Eswed 2009). It is well known that phenol is a weak acid with pKa of 9.9. At pH under this value, the protonated, neutral form is predominant. The rise of pH determines the increase of the concentration of deprotonated form. Koubaissy and coworkers (2011) reported that the phenol adsorption on zeolite considers a complex donor acceptor mechanism and this behavior clearly reduces the phenol adsorption. For a pH range from 4 to 7, the concentration of protons diminishes and phenol is present in its neutral form, being thus easily adsorbed onto zeolite. At pH above 7 phenol adsorption would be reduced due to repulsions between its predominant anionic form and adsorbent surface.



Fig. 3. Effect of Solution pH: (Time= 4 hr, agitation speed = 150 rpm, dose= 1 g m)

Effect of contact time

Experiments have been conducted for phenol removal by varying contact time from 1 hr to 6 hr and the results are shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4 depicts the effect of contact time on the removal of phenol at various initial concentrations (1 to 5 mg/L). It can be observed from the Figure 4 that the phenol removal efficiency increases significantly (50% - 94.2%). After 4 hr the removal efficiency was nearly constant around 94 %. The results are in agreement with those reported in the literature (Saravanakumar and Kumar 2011). It can also noticed from Figure 4 that the saturation curve rise sharply in the initial stages, the phenol adsorption rate is high at the beginning of the experiment because initially the adsorption sites are more available and phenol ions are easily adsorbed on these zeolite sites. Eventually, a plateau is reached in all curves indicating that the adsorbent is saturated at this level. It was found that the contact time needed for removal of phenol from the solutions is 4 hr. However, for phenol solutions with higher initial concentrations, longer equilibrium times will required. It was also seen that an increase in initial phenol concentration resulted in increase the phenol uptake. The removal curves are single, smooth and continuous, indicating the formation of monolayer coverage of the phenol molecules onto the outer surface of the zeolite.



Fig. 4. Effect of Contact time (pH of the solution =7, agitation speed =150 rpm, dose 1 gm)

Effect of adsorbent dose

The effect of zeolite amount on phenol removal efficiency was investigated in the range from 1 to 3 g at different initial concentrations of phenol (1 to 5 mg/L). Experiments were conducted at the ambient temperature, 4 hr adsorption time, 150 rpm shaking speed and at 7 pH. The results are presented in Fig. 5. It can be noticed from Fig. 5 that the phenol removal efficiency increases with increase in zeolite dose (1 to 3 g). This may be attributed to the increase in adsorbent dose leads to increase the adsorbent surface area, pores, active sites and the number of unsaturated sites (Ali *et al.*, 2016). This will have a greater accessibility to the zeolite surface, which would lead to a higher extent in the adsorption process. In the present study, 1 g of zeolite is considered as the optimum dose. Similar type of findings has been reported in the literature (Saravanakumar and Kumar 2012).



Fig. 5. Effect of adsorbent dose (pH of the solution =7, Time= 4 hr, agitation speed = 150 rpm)

Adsorption isotherm models

Adsorption equilibrium is a fundamental property in adsorption studies. In order to optimize the design of an adsorption system for phenol removal from aqueous solution, it is important to find the most appropriate correlation for the equilibrium curve. Adsorption isotherm models are described in many mathematical forms, some of which are based on a simplified physical description while others are purely empirical and intended to correlate experimental data. Fig. 6 (a) and Fig. 6 (b) shows the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models to the experimental equilibrium data in order to verify which model presented the best adjustment. The Langmuir, Freundlich isotherm model and seperation factor (R_L) determined using equation (3 to 5) (Langmuir 1918; Freundlich and Helle 1939).

$$\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m} C_e + \frac{1}{q_m b} \tag{3}$$

$$logq_e = \frac{1}{n_f} logC_e + logK_f \tag{4}$$

$$R_L = \frac{1}{1+bC_0} \tag{5}$$

Where C_e is the equilibrium concentration of phenol in the solution (mg/L),

 q_e is the equilibrium uptake of phenol on the adsorbent (mg/g), q_m is the maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g), b is the Langmuir constant (L/mg),

n is the adsorption intensity

K_f is the adsorption capacity,

The isotherm parameters for different concentration (1 to 5 mg/L) of phenol are listed in Table 3. R_L value provides significant evidence about the adsorption nature. Langmuir isotherm is considered irreversible ($R_L = 0$), favorable ($0 < R_L < 1$), linear ($R_L = 1$), unfavorable ($R_L > 1$). The values of n_f in the range 2-10 represent good, 1-2 moderately difficult, and less than 1 poor adsorption characteristics. It can be noticed from Table 3 that the Langmuir model was found to best and revealing a monolayer adsorption with a maximum adsorption capacity (1.19 mg/g), R^2 (0.989) at 25 °C, for 1 g of adsorbent dose and 5 mg/L initial phenol concentration. The separation factor (R_L) and sorption intensity (n) indicates a favorable adsorption.



Fig. 6 (a). Langmuir plot



Fig. 6 (b). Freundlich plot

Table 3. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm model

Adsorption Model	Parameters	Values
Langmuir Isotherm	q _m (mg/g)	1.196
	b(l/mg)	3.98
	RL	0.2 to 0.04
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.989
Freundlich Isotherm	$K_F((mg/g)/(mg/L)^{1/n})$	1.031
	n	2.09
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.981

Kinetics of the adsorption

In the present study, the rate controlling step determined by study adsorption kinetics. The kinetics of adsorption processes have been analyzed by the Pseudo-first-order model, Pseudo second-order model and intraparticle model. The pseudo-firstorder kinetic model equation describes the rate of adsorption is directly proportional to the number of unoccupied sites by the solutes and is generally expressed by equation (6) (Lagergren and Svenska, 1898). Pseudo-second-order equation describes the rate of occupation of adsorption sites is proportional to the square of the number of unoccupied sites (Dada et al., 2012). The pseudo-second-order equation can be expressed using equation (7). Intraparticle diffusion plays a significant role in controlling the kinetics of the adsorption process. The intraparticle model can be expressed by equation (8).

$$\log(q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - (K_1/2.303)t \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{t}{q} = \frac{1}{K_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \tag{7}$$

$$q_t = k_{p.} t^{1/2} + C (8)$$

Where q_e is the amounts of phenol adsorbed (mg/g) at equilibrium.

- q_t is the amounts of phenol adsorbed (mg/g) at time t (min)
- k_1 is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (L/min). k_2 is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (g/ mg min)
- $k_{\rm p}$ is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mg/g min).

All kinetic parameters are summarized in Table 4. It can be noticed from Table 4 that the pseudo second-order kinetic model was good fitted the kinetic data as compare to the pseudo first-order kinetic model. this model assumes that, the rate-controlling step is chemisorptions involving valency forces through sharing or exchanging of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate.

	Table	4.	Kinetic	model
--	-------	----	---------	-------

Kinetic model	Parameters	Values
Pseudo first order	K_1 (1/min)	0.248
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.985
	qe (mg/g)	0.50
Pseudo second order	K ₂ (g/mg.min)	2.34
	qe (mg/g)	0.29
	R ²	0.988
Intra-particle diffusion	$K_P(mg/g min^{0.5})$	0.082
	С	0.301
	\mathbb{R}^2	0.923

Conclusions

Chemical contamination of water from a wide range of toxic pollutants, especially aromatic molecules, is a serious environmental problem due to potential human and environmental toxicity. Phenol and its derivatives appear to be the major organic pollutants globally in this century. They derived from many chemical plants, pesticide and dye manufacturing industries. This derivates cause serious threat to human health and to natural water. Adsorption is relatively new practice for the removal of phenol and its derivatives. In the present work points out that zeolite proves to have a significant potential for removal of phenol from wastewater. Based on the experimental results of this study, the following points can be concluded:

- In this study, phenol from aqueous solution was investigated using zeolite as an adsorbent and it was found that the adsorption clearly depends on contact time, adsorbent dose, pH, and phenol concentration.
- The R^2 value of Langmuir isotherm model was the highest as compare to Freundlich isotherm model. The maximum monolayer coverage (q_m) from Langmuir isotherm model was determined to be 1.196 (mg/g) and the separation factor (R_L) indicating a favourable adsorption experiment. Also from Freundlich isotherm model, the sorption intensity (n) indicates favourable sorption.
- It was found that the pseudo second-order kinetic model was good fitted the kinetic data as compare to the pseudo first-order kinetic model. This model assumes that, the rate-controlling step may be chemisorptions involving valency forces through sharing or exchanging of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate.

REFERENCES

- Agarry, S.E. and Ogunleye, O.O. 2015. Bio adsorption of 2,6-Dichlorophenol from Aqueous Solution onto Plantain and Pineapple Peels Mixture Used as Adsorbent: Optimization Studies Based on Taguchi Method, Batch Equilibrium, and Kinetic Modeling. Chemistry and Materials Research, 7: 1-14.
- Agarry, S.E., Owabor, C.N. and Ajani, A.O. 2013. Modified plantain peel as cellulose-based low cost adsorbent for the removal of 2, 6-dichlorophenol from aqueous solution:

adsorption isotherms, kinetic modeling and thermodynamic studies, *Chemical Engineering Communications* 200 (8): 1121-1147.

- Ali, R.M., Hamada, H.A., Hussein, M.M. and Malash, G.F. 2016. Potential of using green adsorbent of heavy metal removal from aqueous solutions: Adsorption kinetics, isotherm, thermodynamic, mechanism and economic analysis, *Ecological Engineering*, 91: 317–332.
- Bajaj, M., Gallert, C. and Winter, J. 2008. Biodegradation of high phenol containing synthetic wastewater by an aerobic fixed bed reactor, *Bioresource Technology*, 99: 8376-8381.
- Bazrafshan, E., Alipour, M.R. and Mahvi, A.H. 2016. Textile wastewater treatment by application of combined chemical coagulation, electro coagulation, and adsorption processes, *Desalination Water Treatment*, 56: 9203-9215.
- Bazrafshan, E., Khoshnamvand, N. and Mahvi, A.H. 2015. Fluoride removal from aqueous environments by ZnCl₂ treated Eucalyptus leaf as a natural adsorbent, *Fluoride*, 48: 315-320.
- Bodalo, A., Gomez, E., Hidalgo, A.M., Gomez, M., Murcia, M.D. and Lopez, I. 2009. Nanofiltration membranes to reduce phenol concentration in wastewater, *Desalination*, 245: 680-686.
- Busca, G., Berardinelli, S., Resini, C. and Arrighi, L. 2008. Technologies for the removal of phenol from fluid streams: a short review of recent developments, Journal of *Hazardous Material*, 160: 265-288.
- Canizares, P., Martinez, F., Garcia-Gomez, J., Saez, C. and Rodrigo, M.A. 2002. Combined electrooxidation and assisted electrochemical coagulation of aqueous phenol wastes, *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 32: 1241-1246.
- Dada, A., Olalekan, A.P. and Olatunya, A.M. 2012. Langmuir, Freundlich, Temkin and Dubinin-Radushkevich Isotherms studies of equilibrium sorption of Zn²⁺ onto phosphoric acid Modified Rice Husk, *Journal of Applied Chemistry*, 3 (1): 38-45.
- Dursun, G., Cicek, H. and Dursun, A.Y. 2005. Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution by using carbonized beet pulp. *Journal of Hazardous Material B*, 125: 175-182.
- El-Naas, M.H., Al-Zuhair, S. and Alhaija, M.A. 2010. Removal of phenol from petroleum refinery wastewater through adsorption on date-pit activated carbon, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 162: 997-1005.
- Freundlich, H. and Helle, W. 1939. On adsorption in solution. Journal of American Chemical Society, 61: 2228–2230.
- Gurses, A. and Yalcin, M. 2005. Removal of phenolic and lignin compounds from bleached kraft mill effluent by fly ash and sepiolite, *Adsorption*, 11: 87-97.
- Hamdaoui, O. and Naffrechoux, E. 2007. Modeling of adsorption isotherms of phenol and chlorophenols onto granular activated carbon Part I. Two-parameter models and equations allowing determination of thermodynamic parameters, *Journal of Hazardous Material*, 147: 381-394.
- Hameed, B.H. and Rahman, A.A. 2008. Removal of phenol from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto activated carbon prepared from biomass material, *Journal of Hazardous Material*, 160: 576-581.
- Juang, R.S.H., Kao, H.H. and Tseng, K.J. 2010. Kinetics of phenol removal from saline solutions by solvent extraction coupled with degradation in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor, *Separation and Purification Technology*, 3: 285-292.

- Kennedy, L.J., Vijaya, J.J., Kayalvizhi, K. and Sekaran, G. 2007. Adsorption of phenol from aqueous solutions using mesoporous carbon prepared by two-stage process, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 132: 279-287.
- Khandegar, V. and Saroha, A.K. 2013. Electrocoagulation for the treatment of textile industry effluent-A Review, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 128: 949-963.
- Koubaissy, B., Toufaily, J., El-Murr., M., Hamieh, T., Magnoux, P. and Joly, G. 2011. Elimination of aromatic pollutants present in wastewater by adsorption over zeolites, *Physics Procedia* 21: 220-227.
- Lagergren, S. and Svenska, B.K. 1898. Zur theorie der sogenannten adsorptiongeloester stoffe, Vetenskapsakad. Handl. 24: 1-39.
- Langmuir, I. 1918. The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of glass, mica and platinum, *Journal of American Chemical Society*, 40: 1361–1403.
- Lin, K. Pan, J., Chen, Y., Cheng, R. and Xu, X. 2009. Study the adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution on hydroxyapatite nanopowders, *Journal of Hazardous Material*, 161: 231-240.
- Lu, G., Hao, J., Liu, L., Ma, H., Fang, Q., Wu, L., Wei, M. and Zhang, Y. 2011. The adsorption of phenol by lignite activated carbon, *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 19: 380-385.
- Mahvi, A.H. 2009. Application of ultrasonic technology for water and wastewater treatment, *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 38: 1-17.
- Mahvi, A.H., Maleki, A. and Eslami, A. 2004. Potential of rice husk and rice husk ash for phenol removal in aqueous system, *American Journal of Applied Science*, 1(4): 321-326.
- Mahvi, A.H., Maleki, A., Alimohamadi, M. and Ghasri, A. 2007. Photo-oxidation of phenol in aqueous solution: Toxicity of intermediates, *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 24(1): 79-82.
- Maleki, A., Mahvi, A.H., Mesdaghinia, A. and Naddafi, K. 2007. Degradation and toxicity reduction of phenol by ultrasound waves, *Bulletin of the chemical society of Ethiopia*, 21: 33-38.
- Mao, X., Buchanan, I.D. and Stanley, S.J. 2006. Phenol removal from aqueous solution by fungal peroxidases. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Science*, 5(1):103-109.
- Metcalf & Eddy, Inc 2003, Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse. Boston McGraw-Hill, Print.
- Michałowicz, J. and Duda, W. 2007. Phenols-Sources and Toxicity, *Polish Journal of Environmental Study*, 16: 347-362.
- Ming, Z.W., Long, C.J., Cai, P.B., Xing, Z.Q. and Zhang, B. 2006. Synergistic adsorption of phenol from aqueous solution onto polymeric adsorbents, *Journal of Hazardous Material*,128: 123-129.
- Moussavi, G.H., Barikbin, B. and Mahmoudi, M. 2010. The removal of high concentrations of phenol from saline wastewater using aerobic granular SBR, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 158: 498-504.
- Nazari, K., Esmaeili, N., Mahmoudi, A., Rahimi, H. and Moosavi-Movahedi, A. 2007. Peroxidative phenol removal from aqueous solutions using activated peroxidase biocatalyst, *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 41: 226-233.

- Pandit, A., Gogate, P.R. and Mujumdar, S. 2001. Ultrasonic degradation of 2, 4, 6 trichlorophenol in presence of TiO₂ catalyst, *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 8: 227-231.
- Polat, H., Molva, M. and Polat, M. 2006. Capacity and mechanism of phenol adsorption on lignite, *International Journal of Mineral. Processing*, 79: 264-273.
- Saravanakumar, K. and Kumar, A. 2011. Treatment of aqueous solution of phenol by adsorption on sodium Zeolite, *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological* and Chemical Sciences, 2: 18-26.
- Spiridon, O. B., Preda, E., Botez A. and Pitulice L. 2013. Phenol removal from wastewater by adsorption on zeolitic composite, *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 20: 6367-6381.
- Tahar, N.B. and Savall, A. 2009. Electrochemical removal of phenol in alkaline solution, contribution of the anodic polymerization on different electrode materials, *Electrochemical Acta*, 54: 4809-4816.
- US EPA, (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) 1987. Quality criteria for water. EPA Publication 440/5-86-001, U.S. Gov. Prin. Office, Washington D.C.

- WHO, (World Health Organization) 1993. Guidelines for drinking water quality, 2nd Ed. 1: 188.
- Yavuz, Y. and Koparal, A.S. 2006. Electrochemical oxidation of phenol in a parallel plate reactor using ruthenium mixed metal oxide electrode, *Journal of Hazardous Material*, 136: 296-302.
- Yousef, R.I. and El-Eswed, B. 2009. The effect of pH on the adsorption of phenol and chlorophenols onto natural Zeolite, *Colloidal Surface A*, 334: 92-99.
- Zarei, A., Bazrafshan, E., Faridi, H., Khaksefidi, R. and Alizadeh, M. 2013. The evaluation of removal efficiency of phenol from aqueous solutions using Moringa peregrina tree shell ash, *Iranian Journal of Health Science*, 1(1): 65-74.
- Zhang, W., Du, Q., Pan, B., Lv, L., Hong, C., Jiang, Z. and Kong, D. 2009. Adsorption equilibrium and heat of phenol onto aminated polymeric resins from aqueous solution, *Colloidal Surface A*, 346: 34-38.
