

Available Online at http://www.journalajst.com

ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Asian Journal of Science and Technology Vol. 4, Issue, 11, pp. 034-039, November, 2012

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ROUGH TILTED PAD SLIDER BEARING LUBRICATED WITH A MAGNETIC FLUID

¹Shukla, S. D., and ²G. M. Deheri

¹Department of Mathematics, Shri. R. K. Parikh Arts and Science College, Petlad, Gujarat ²Department of Mathematics, Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidhynagar, Gujarat

Received: 28th, August, 2011; Received in Revised from: 15th, September, 2011; Accepted: 30th, October, 2011; Published online: 27th, November, 2012

ABSTRACT

The performance of a transversely rough tilted pad slider bearing was analyzed in the presence of a magnetic fluid lubricant. A mathematical model based on stochastic averaging process was developed. The expressions for non dimensional pressure, load carrying capacity and friction were derived. The computed results made it clear that the bearing working with magnetic fluid as a lubricant had a better performance than that of an identical bearing working with a conventional lubricant. In addition, it was concluded that the adverse effect of transverse roughness could be reduced to some extent by the positive effect of the magnetic fluid lubricant. However, this reduction got larger due to negatively skewed roughness.

Key words: Titled pad slider bearing, magnetic fluid, roughness, load carrying capacity, friction.

INTRODUCTION

The first titled pad bearing in service was probably the one built under the guidance of Mitchell in 1907. By 1913, the great merits of the titled- bearing had been recognized for marine applications. To overcome stability problems experienced in machines with high speed rotors often tilting pad bearings are preferred (such bearings are widely used in percentage the design of large of world's high speed, multi stage turbo machinery). The tilting pad bearing differs from the multi-lobed bearing in that each pad rotates about a pivot enabling each pad to have higher degree of freedom corresponding to movement about the pivot point. The pad tilts such that its centre of curvature moves to create a converging pad film thickness. When the bearing is in operation, the rotating part of the bearing carries fresh oil into the pad area through viscous drag. Fluid pressure causes the pad to tilt slightly, creating a narrow construction between the shoe and other bearing surface. A wedge of pressurized fluid builds behind this construction, separating the moving part. The optimum design of tilted pad slider with onedimensional continuous surface profiles has been studied by (Singh, 1982). (Royal and Raizada, 1965) embarked on the numerical analysis of effects of tilt, sliding and squeeze action on externaly pressurized oil-film bearings. This paper illustrated the application of the results to dynamical problems. (Kennedy, 1989) investigated the transient characteristics of an infinite tilted-pad thrust bearing for a step change in the slider velocity. The numerical solutions indicated that the transient characteristics depend on the initial condition of the bearing and on the terminal speed of

*Corresponding author: snehaldshukla@gmail.com

the slider. Magnetic fluids are stable colloidal system of fine single-domain particles that are suspended in liquid carrier such as water, mineral oil, paraffin and kerosene. However, the inclusion of a magnetically active additive to a lubricant decrease motion, resistance and consequently improves the dynamics of the bearing system, which in turn, leads to the increase in the durability of the whole machine. Actually, magnetic aid can cause a reduction of the surface stresses and when the field is sufficiently strong it makes it possible to avoid the direct contacting of mating surfaces. Therefore, in the magnetic- aided bearing systems reduction of the friction co-efficient and wear rate is possible and simultaneously rotational velocities can be higher. Magnetic medium as lubricant mainly performs three functions: decreasing friction losses, reducing the wear and damping out vibration and mid range resonance (Bhat, 2003).

(Verma and Agrwal, 1986) studied the squeeze film performance by taking a magnetic fluid as a lubricant. Also, (Bhat and Deheri, 1991) modified the analysis of (Agrwal, 1986) by considering a magnetic fluid based porous composite slider bearing with its slider consisting of an inclined pad and a flat pad. It was shown that the magnetic fluid lubrication increased the load carrying capacity, unaltered the friction and shifted the centre of pressure towards the inlet. (Shah and Bhat, 2003) analyzed an exponential porous slider bearing with a ferro-fluid lubricant, the flow being governed by Jenkins flow behavior considering the slip velocity. It was observed that the load carrying capacity as well as friction decreased and coefficient of friction increased when the slip parameter increased. (Lin et al., 2006) dealt with the dynamic characteristics of a wide exponential film shape slider bearing lubricated with a non-Newtonian couple stress fluid. Here it was show that the effect of couple stress decreased the friction as well as the volume flow rate. Further, the couple stress fluid increased the load carrying capacity.

The bearing surfaces particularly, after receiving some run-in and wear often exhibit a one dimensional type of roughness, running in the direction of sliding or longitudinal direction. Rough surfaces usually wear more quickly and have higher friction co-efficient than smooth surfaces. The roughness appears to be random in character without following any particular structural patten. (Christensen and Tonder, 1969(a), 1969(b), 1970) developed the stochastic Reynolds equation for transverse and longitudinal roughness. This approach has been employed by many researchers to predict the effect of surface roughness (Gupta and Deheri, 1996, Prakash and Tiwari, 1983, Ting, 1975, Tonder, 1972 and Tzeng, 1967). Sinha and Adamu, 2009) summarized the thermal and roughness effects on different characteristics of an infinite tilted pad slider bearing. It was observed that for a nonparallel slider bearing the load carrying capacity due to the combined effect was less than the load carrying capacity due to the roughness effect. However, the reverse was true in the case of parallel slider bearing. (Deheri et al. 2004 and 2005) discussed the effects of longitudinal as well transverse surface roughness on the performance with squeeze film formed by a magnetic fluid. It was concluded that the magnetization introduced compensation for the adverse effect of surface roughness. The situation was slightly better in the case of longitudinal surface roughness.

Analysis

The geometry and pattern of the bearing structure is given in Fig. 1.



Fig.1.The configuration of the bearing system

The gap h increases with increasing x, hence the runner has to move towards the origin with its velocity is-U. The position of k_0 is a distance H_1 from the origin and h_1 is $(H_1 + B)$ away. By similarity of triangles giving

$$h = \frac{xh_0}{H_1}$$
$$H_1 = B\left(\frac{h_0}{h_1 - h_0}\right) = \frac{B}{K_1}$$

where

$$K = \frac{h_1 - h_0}{h_0}$$

The expression for film thickness is considered in the form of (Christensen and Tonder, 1969(a), 1969(b), 1970)

$$h(x) = \bar{h}(x) + h_z$$

where \overline{h} is the mean film thickness, while h_x is a randomly varying portion measured from the mean level characterizing the random roughness. Here h_x is assumed to have the probability density function $F(h_x)$ over the domain [-b. b]

$$F(h_s) = \begin{cases} \frac{32}{35b} \left(1 - \frac{h_s^2}{b^2}\right)^s & -b \le h_s \le b\\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

The details regarding the mean α , deviation σ , and skewness ε associated with the characterization of roughness can be seen

from (Christensen and Tonder, 1969(a), 1969(b), 1970), where

 $\alpha = E(h_s)$

$$\sigma^{x} = E[(h_{s} - \alpha)^{x}]$$

$$\varepsilon = E[(h_{s} - \alpha)^{3}]$$

while E is the expectancy operator defined by

$$E(R) = \int_{-b}^{b} R F(h_s) dh_s$$

The magnetic field is oblique to the stator and its magnitude is given by

$$H^2 = kL^2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi x}{L}\right)$$

where $k = 10^{14} A^2 m^{-4}$ chosen so as to have a magnetic field of strength over 10^5 (Bhat and Deheri,1991, Prajapati, 1991, 1992 and Verma, 1986). Taking in to account the usual assumptions of the hydromagnetic lubrication with the aid of the modeling resorted to by (Andharia *et al.*, 1997, 1999) one gets

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(P - \frac{\mu_0 \bar{\mu} H^2}{2}\right) = 6U\eta \frac{h - \bar{h}}{A(h)} \tag{1}$$

where

 $A(h) = h^{2} + 3\alpha h^{2} + 3(\sigma^{2} + \alpha^{2})h$ $+\varepsilon + 3\sigma^{2}\alpha + \alpha^{2}$ The concerned boundary conditions are

$$P = 0$$
, $x = -L$ and 0

Using the following dimensionless scheme

$$\kappa^{*} = \frac{x}{L}, P^{*} = \frac{h_{0}^{2}P}{6U\eta B}, \mu^{*} = \frac{k\mu_{0}\bar{\mu}}{U\eta}$$
(2)
$$A_{1} = \varepsilon^{*} + 3\sigma^{*2}\alpha^{*} + \alpha^{*3}, A_{2} = 3(\sigma^{*2} + \alpha^{*2}),$$
$$A_{3} = 3\alpha^{*},$$
$$\varepsilon^{*} = \frac{\varepsilon}{h_{0}^{2}}, \sigma^{*} = \frac{\sigma}{h_{0}}, \alpha^{*} = \frac{\alpha}{h_{0}}, h_{1}^{*} = \frac{h_{1}}{h_{0}}$$

and fixing the following symbols,

$$S = 1 + A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \frac{dh}{dx} = \tan \theta,$$

one obtains the pressure distribution in dimensionless form as

$$P^* = h^*(h^* + 1)\frac{\mu^*}{2}$$

Substitution of the pressure field above leads to dimensionless load carrying capacity, given by

 $+\frac{1}{SK}\left[\frac{1}{h^*}-\frac{K+1}{h^{*^2}(K+2)}-\frac{1}{K+2}\right]$

$$W^* = \frac{h_0^2}{6\eta U L B^2} W$$
$$- \int_0^1 P^* dx$$

which means

$$W^* = \frac{\mu^2}{12} [2(K+1)^2 + 5(K+2)] + \frac{1}{5K^2} \left[\ln(K+1) - \frac{2K}{(K+2)} \right]$$
(4)

The total frictional force is

$$F = \int_{0}^{L} \int_{H_{1}}^{B+H_{1}} \tau dx dy$$

The stress on the surface z = h is τ_h and z = 0 is τ_0 . Following the discussions in (Cameron, 1972) one finds that

$$F_{h,0} = \int_{0}^{L} \int_{H_{1}}^{B+H_{1}} \tau_{h,0} dx dy$$
$$= \int_{0}^{L} \int_{H_{1}}^{B-H_{1}} \left[\left(\pm \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(P - \frac{\mu_{0} \bar{\mu} H^{2}}{2} \right) \frac{h}{2} \right) + \frac{\eta U}{h} \right] dx dy$$

Following (Cameron, 1972) the non-dimensional friction is calculated, as

$$F^* = \frac{n_0}{\eta UBL}F$$

= $\frac{tan\theta}{2}W^* + \frac{tan\theta}{2}\frac{\mu^*}{12}(2(K+1)^2 + 5(K+2))$
- $\frac{\mu^*}{48} \begin{bmatrix} 3(K+2)((K+1)^2 + 1) \\ +4((K+1)^2 + K+2) \end{bmatrix} + \frac{\ln(K+1)}{K} \end{bmatrix}$ (6)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Equations (3), (4) and (6) gave the expression respectively for dimensionless pressure, load carrying capacity and friction. It was observed from Equation (3) that the nondimensional pressure was increased by

$$h^*(h^*+1)\frac{\mu^*}{2}$$

while increase in the load carrying capacity was

$$\frac{\mu^2}{12} [2(K+1)^2 + 5(K+2)]$$

as could be seen from Equation (4) as, compared to the case of conventional lubricants.

Setting μ^* to be zero one got the performance of the corresponding conventional rough bearing system. Further, taking roughness parameters to be zero, one obtained the discussion carried out in (Cameron, 1972).

Figures (2)-(5) demonstrated the variation of dimensionless load carrying capacity with respect to the magnetization parameter for various values of $\sigma^*, \sigma^*, \alpha^*$ and K respectively. It was clearly noticed that the load carrying capacity was increased sharply due to the magnetization. However, this increase was more when considered with the case of K. Figures (6)-(8) presented the non-dimensional load carrying capacity with respect to the standard deviation σ made it clear that the standard deviation had a considerable adverse effect on the performance of the bearing system as the load carrying capacity decreased substantially with respect to the increasing the value of standard deviation. Figure (9) described the combined effect of variance and skewness on the distribution of load carrying capacity. Positively skewed roughness decreased the load carrying capacity while the load carrying capacity got increased due to negatively skewed roughness. Variance followed the trends of skewness. Figures (10)-(11) underlined that the role of K was equally significant for improving the performance of the bearing system. It was clearly seen that a negative effect was induced by the standard deviation. However, it could be minimized to a large extent by the positive effect of the magnetic fluid lubricant in the case of negatively skewed roughness. This effect was more pronounced when negative variance was involved. The computed results for the friction were presented graphically in Figures (12)-(21). It was clearly noticed that the magnetization and the thickness ratio K reduced the friction considerably and this reduction in the friction got increased when considered with the effect of standard deviation. Also, it could be seen that the positively skewed roughness tended to decrease the friction. Similar was the case of α^* (+ve). Some of the graphs suggested that the adverse effect of transverse surface roughness could be compensated up to a large extent by the effect of magnetic fluid lubricant especially, in the case of negatively skewed roughness.



Fig. 2.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to μ^* and σ^*



Fig. 3.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to μ^* and ε^*

(3)



Fig.4.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to μ^* and α^*



Fig.5.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to μ^* and κ



Fig.6.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to σ^* and ε^*



Fig.7. The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to σ^* and α^*



Fig.8.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to σ^* and κ



Fig.9.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to ε^* and α^*



Fig.10.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to ε^* and K



Fig.11.The variation of load carrying capacity with respect to α^* and K



Fig.12.The variation of friction with respect to μ^* and σ^*



Fig.13.The variation of friction with respect to μ^* and ε^*



Fig.14.The variation of friction with respect to μ^* and α^*



Fig.15.The variation of friction with respect to μ^* and K







Fig.17. The variation of friction with respect to





Fig.18.The variation of friction with respect to σ^* and K



Fig.19.The variation of friction with respect to ε^* and α^*

038



Fig.20.The variation of friction with respect to ε^* and K



Fig.21.The variation of friction with respect to at and K

Conclusion

This study revealed that the roughness deserved to be addressed while designing the bearing system even if a strong magnetic field was in force. Further, this study suggested that in the absence of flow also the bearing could be observed to support a good amount of load.

REFERENCES

- Agrwal, V.K. 1986. Magnetic fluid based porous inclined slider bearing. , WEAR, 107: 133-139.
- Andharia,P.I., Gupta,J.L. and Deheri,G.M, 1997. "Effects of longitudinal surface roughness on hydrodynamic lubrication of slider bearing., Proceeding of Tenth International conference on surface modification Technologic, The Institute of materials, Place 872-880.
- Andharia, P.I., Gupta, J.L. and Deheri, G.M. 1999. Effects of transverse surface roughness on the behavior of squeeze film in a spherical bearing, *Journal of Applied Mechanics* and Engineering, 4: 19-24.
- Bhat,M.V. and Deheri,G.M. 1991. Squeeze film behavior in porous annular discs lubricated with magnetic fluid, *WEAR*, 151: 123-128.
- Bhat,M.V. and Deheri,G.M. 1991. Porous composite slider bearing lubricated with magnetic fluid. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 30: 2513-2514.
- Bhat,M.V. 2003. *Lubrication with a magnetic fluid*, Team spirit Pvt. Ltd. India
- Cameron, A. 1972. *Basic Theory of Lubrication*, Ellis Harwood Limited, Halsted Press, John Willy and Sons, New-York.
- Christensen, H. and Tonder, K.C. 1969(a). Stochastic models of hydrodynamic lubrication, SINTEF, *Report No. 10/69-18*
- Christensen, H. and Tonder, K.C. 1969(b). "Tribology of rough surface; parametric study and comparison of lubrication models", SINTEF, *Report No. 22/69-18*

- Christensen, H. and Tonder, K.C. 1970. The hydrodynamic lubrication of a rough bearing surfaces of finite width, ASME-ASLE Conference of lubrication *Paper No.* 70 *Lub.*7
- Deheri,G.M., Andharia,P.I. and ,Patel,R.M. 2004. Longitudinal rough slider bearing with squeeze film formed by a magnetic fluid. *Journal of Industrial Lubrication and Tribology*, 10(1): 53-76.
- Deheri,G.M., Andharia,P.I. and ,Patel,R.M. 2005. Transversely rough slider bearing with squeeze film formed by a magnetic fluid. *International journal of Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 10(1): 53-76.
- Gupta, J.L., and Deheri, G.M. 1996. Effects of roughness on the behavior of squeeze film in a spherical bearing. *Tribology Transsactions*, 39: 99-102.
- Kennedy, J.S., Sabhapathy, P. and Rodkiewicz, C.M. 1989. Transient Thermal effects in an infinite Tilted-pad slider bearing. *Tribology Transsactions*, 32(1): 47-53.
- Lin, J.R., Lu, R.F. and Hung, C.R. 2006. Dynamic characteristics for wild exponential film shape slider bearing lubricated with a Non-Newtonian couple stress fluid. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, 14(2): 93-101.
- Prajapati, B.L 1991. Behavior of squeeze film between rotating circular plates; surface roughness and elastic deformation effects. *Pure and Applied Mathematical Science.*, 33 (12): 27.
- Prajapati , B.L. 1992. Squeeze behavior of between rotating porous circular plates with a concentric pocket: surface roughness and elastic deformation effect. WEAR, 152: 301.
- Prakash, J. and Tiwari, K. 1983. Roughness effect in porous circular squeeze plate with arbitrary wall thickness *Journal* of Lubrication Technology Transaction, 105: 90.
- Royel, J.K., and Raizada, R.S. 1965. Numerical Analysis of effects of Tilt, Sliding and Squeeze action on externally Pressurized oil-film bearings. *Processing of Institute of Mechanical Engineering*, 180: 113,
- Shah, R.C. and Bhat, M.V. 2003. Analysis of an effect of a porous Exponential slider bearing lubricated with a Ferro fluid considering slip velocity. *Journal of the Brazil Society of Mechanical Science and Engineering*, 25(3): 264-270.
- Singh, Anant Pal. 1982. Optimum design of tilted pad sliders with one-dimensional continuous surface profiles. *WEAR*, 81(2): 285-309.
- Sinha, Prawal and Adamu, Getachew, 2009. THD analysis for slider bearing with roughness: special reference to load generation in parallel sliders. *Acta Mechanica*, 207(1-2): 11-27.
- Ting,L.L. 1975. Engagement behavior of lubricated porous annular discs Part-1 squeeze film phase surface roughness and elastic deformation effects. *WEAR*, 34: 159-182.
- Tonder,K.C. 1972. "Surface distributed waviness and roughness", First world conference in industrial Tribology, New-Delhi, A;PP.01-08.
- Tzeng,S.T. and Saibel,E. 1967. Surface roughness effect on slider bearing lubrication. *Transaction of ASME.*, *Journal of Lubrication Technology*, 10: 334-338.
- Verma, P.D.S. 1986. Magnetic fluid based squeeze film.. International journal of engineering science, 24(.3):.395-401.