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#### Abstract

Transportation problems in the country Nigeria are very hectic, especially with so many challenges on the highway, such as: obstacles, armed robbery attacks, insurgency and terrorism, which hinder the free flow of traffic of goods and services. This study is designed to address these challenges by using Dynamic Programming on transportation networks using traditional technique, traditional technique with incorporated task of obstacles and traditional technique with incorporated infrastructural advantages. The data used for analysis were from government agencies. The results obtained from these redesigned three networks were superimposed to obtain the final optimal route from the seaport sources: Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri are 2998 km , $2671 \mathrm{~km}, 2636 \mathrm{~km}$ and 2491 km respectively. The result shows superiority of the new critical path to the normal critical path.
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## INTRODUCTION

The history of transportation in Nigeria dates back to the precolonial era. Within this period, transportation facilities such as roads, railways, air transport facilities were really nonexistent with emphasis then on the bush paths. According to Ighodaro (2009) the history of road transport in Nigerian back to 1904 when Lord Luggard attempt the construction of a mule road linking Zaria and Zungeru both in the northern states of Nigeria. The road was later extended from Zaria to Sokoto, Katsina and Maidugri, however, the road linking Ibadan and Oyo constructed in 1906 is recorded to be the first motorable road ever constructed in Nigeria. At the independence in 1960, the Nigerian landscape was dotted with a skeletal network of trunk roads as well as secondary and feeders roads that exhibited the characteristic which reflected the purpose of their construction. They were narrow and winding, being simply meant to facilitate the evacuation of agricultural produce from the interior to the ports for exports in addition to serving as links between scattered human settlements thus permitting ease of administration. The author added that in 1925, the central government of Nigeria set up a Road Board, by 1926, H. E. Walker proposed a skeleton trunk road system to link the major administrative centers in the country. These roads were designed as a frame upon which the network of secondary

[^0]roads could be built thus enabling the general road system to be considered as a co-coordinated whole rather than a jigsaw of small disjointed sections. The Nigerian road system is classified into four broad categories, these are:
i. Federal trunk " $A$ " roads: these are roads under federal government ownership and they are developed and maintained by the federal government.
ii. Federal trunk " $F$ " road: these roads were formerly under state government ownership but are taken over by the federal government with a view to upgrading them to federal high way standards.
iii. Trunks " $B$ " roads are under the ownership and management of the states government.
iv. Trunk "C" road are under the local government ownership and management.

Each tier of government has the responsibility for planning, construction and management of the network of roads under its jurisdiction. Since these roads were constructed, road transportation problems in the country Nigeria keeps increasing. The transportation problems in the country are very hectic, especially with so many challenges on the highways such as: obstacles, armed robbery attacks, Boko Haram insurgencies and kidnappings, which hinder the free flow of traffic of goods and services. This study is designed to address those challenges in order to order to obtain the optional route from the seaport sources: Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar through the hinterland to Maidugri. The rest of the
paper is structured as follows: section two (2) provides literature review and theoretical framework for the study while section three (3) is on the methodology, section four (4) focuses on the result and discussion and the last section concludes the paper.

## Statement of the Problem

The study is designed to address the road transportation problems in the country Nigeria which is very hectic especially with so many challenges on the highway such as obstacle, armed robbery attacks, insurgency and kidnappings, which hinder the free flow of traffic of goods and service. This study will address these challenges by using dynamic programming method on the Nigeria roads networks, using traditional technique with incorporated task of obstacles and traditional technique with incorporated task of infrastructural advantages. The result obtained from those redesigned three network are superimposed and the final optional route from seaport sources: Lagos, Warri, Port Harcout, Calabar to Maiduguri are obtained

## Aim

The aim of this work is to obtain the optional network on Nigerian road transportation system from seaport source: Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar through the hinterland to Maidugri, the insurgent activities gateway, commercial city and a gateway to two countries (Chad and Cameroon).

## Objectives

In order to achieve the above aim, the following specifies objectives will be pursued:

1. To develop a model of Nigerian road network system of motorable road from coastal towns through hinterland to border town (i.e. Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri).
2. To obtain the optimal route of Nigerian roads as specified in " 1 " above under normal circumstance using traditional dynamic programming method and a computer package named TORA.
3. To obtain the optimal route based on obstacles that are been faced as challenges on Nigerian roads network system of motorable roads from coastal towns through hinterland to border town (from Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri) such as:
a. Untarred roads
b. Bad bridges
c. Other hazards such as frequency of armed robbery attacks,

Boko Haram insurgency and kidnappings.
Using traditional dynamic programming method and a computer package named TORA.
4. To obtain the optimal route based on infrastructural development been used as challenges on
Nigerian roads network system of motorable roads from coastal towns through hinterland to boarder town (from Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri) using traditional dynamic programming method and a
computer package named TORA.
5. The three redesigned networks (2,3and 4) above will be superimposed to obtain the optimal route from coastal towns through hinterland to boarder town (from Lagos, Warri, Port Hacourt and Calabar to Maiduguri) using dynamic programming.

## Significance of the Study

According to Onakomaiya (undated) " of all commodity movements to and from the seaports, at least two -third are now handled by road transport while up to $90 \%$ of all other internal movement of goods and persons take place by roads". The essence of this work is to maximize advantage and minimize or reduce disadvantage of network and also to make a contribution to the growing literature on the network analysis, dynamic programming and identifying for the transporters and intending travelers the optimal route.

## Definition

| 1. | LAG | - LAGOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | WAR/ASA- WARRI/ASABA |  |
| 3. | PHC | - PORT HARCOURT |
| 4. | CAL | - CALABAR |
| 5. | ABEO | - ABEOKUTA |
| 6. | IBD | - IBADAN |
| 7. | OSO | - OSHOGBO |
| 8. | AKU | - AKURE |
| 9. | BEN | - BENI CITY |
| 10. | OW | - OWERRI |
| 11. | UYO | - UYO |
| 12. | UMU | - UMAUHIA |
| 13. | CAL | - CALABAR |
| 14. | IBD | - IBADAN |
| 15. | OSO | - OSHOGBO |
| 16. | ADO | - ADO- EKITI |
| 17. | AKU | - ANKA |
| 18. | BEN | - BENNI CITY |
| 19. | AWKA | - ANKA |
| 20. | UMU | - UMAUHIA |
| 21. | CAL | - CALABAR |
| 22. | ENU | - ENUGU |
| 23. | LKJ | - LOKOJA |
| 24. | ENU | - ENUGU |
| 25. | ABAK | - ABAKELIKE |
| 26. | CAL | - CALAB AR |
| 27. | MIN | - MINNA |
| 28. | ABJ | - ABUJA |
| 29. | LAF | - LAFIA |
| 30. | ENU | - ENUGU |
| 31. | ABAK | - ABAKELIKE |
| 32. | MKD | - MAKURDI |
| 33. | BIR | - BIRNI-KEBI |
| 34. | KAD | - KADUNA |
| 35. | ABJ | - ABUJA |
| 36. | LAF | - LAFIA |
| 37. | MKD | - MAKUDI |
| 38. | SOK | - SOKOLTO |
| 39. | GUS | - GUSAU |
| 40. | KAD | - KADUNA |
|  | JOS | - JOS |
| 42. | JAL | - JALINGO |


| 43. | GUS | - GUSAU |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44. | KAT | - KATSINA |
| 45. | KAD | - KADUNA |
| 46. | KANO | - KANO |
| 47. | JOS | - JOS |
| 48. | JAL | - JALINGO |
| 49. | KAT | - KATSINA |
| 50. | KANO | - KANO |
| 51. | BAU | - BAUCHI |
| 52. | JAL | - JALINGO |
| 53. | DUT | - DUTES |
| 54. | BAU | - BAUCHI |
| 55. | GOM | - GOMBE |
| 56. | YOL | - YOLA |
| 57. | DUT | - DUTSE |
| 58. | BAU | - BAUCHI |
| 59. | GOM | - GOMBE |
| 60. | YOL | - YOLA |
| 61. | DAM | - DAMATURU |
| 62. | GOM | - GOMBE |
| 63. | YOLA | - YOLA |
| 64. | MAID | - MADUGURI. |

## Assumptions of the Study

The study assumes the following according to Okafor (2008). It is assumed that:

1. the primary and secondary sources of data are accurate
2. the study is worth conducting for problem solving and decision making within operations research
3. the software and the hardware used in this study and research are the appropriate technology
4. the prototype as case study are accurate and gives appropriate fit
5. the decision values are not change over the planning horizon
6. the driver moves at a constant average speed.
7. the movement will always be a forward movement, from one node to another.
8. the nodes are not geographically proportionate.
9. all arc lengths are nonnegative

## Literature review

The history of transportation in Nigeria dates back to the precolonial era, within this period, transportation facilities such as roads, railways, air transport craft etc. were non-existing with emphasis then on the bush path. At present, the modes of transportation in Nigeria include roads, railways, inland waterways, coastal waters, the deep sea, and the pipeline as stated by Anyanwu et al. (1997). According to Onakomaiya (undated), "of all commodity movements to and from the seaports, at least two -third are now handled by road transport while up to $90 \%$ of all other internal movement of goods and persons take place by roads. The author also stated that, the current network of roads in Nigeria is shared among the three tiers of government as: Federal roads, State roads and local roads. Tim (2003), estimated that losses in the Nigerian economy arising from the poor state of roads is about N450 billion yearly. According to Taha (2007), there are multitudes of situations in practice that can be modeled and solved as networks (nodes connected by branches). Some recent survey
reports show that as much as $70 \%$ of the real-world mathematical problems can be represented by network related models. The author also stated that, network optimization models are widely used for problem in such diverse areas as production schedule, electricity distribution, project planning, facilities location, resources management and financial planning, to name just but few examples. The author further stated that, a network representation provides such a powerful visual and conceptual aid for portraying the relationships between the components of systems that is used in virtually every fields of scientific, social and economic endeavour. He also stated that many network optimization models are special types of linear programming problem (LPP), however, there are five important kinds of network problems (possible applications) and each has specific structure that arise frequently in applications ,they include:
i. Shortest-route problem
ii. The minimum cost flow schedule problem, which provide a unified approach to many other application because of it far more general structure
iii. The minimum spanning tree problem
iv. The critical path method (CPM) of time-cost tradeoffs.
v. The maximal flow problem.

The solution of these situations and others like it, is accomplished through variety of network optimization algorithms.

According to Hillier and Lieberman (2005), the algorithms for the shortest-route problem is given as follows:
i. Objectives of $n$th iteration: n-1 Find the nth nearest node to the origin (to be repeated for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3 \ldots$ ) until the n th nearest node is the destination.
ii. Input for nth iteration: $\mathrm{n}-1$ nearest nodes to the origin (solved for the previous iterations), including their shortest path and distances from the origin (these nodes, plus the origins will be called solved nodes, the others are unsolved nodes).
iii. Candidates for nth nearest nodes: Each solved node that is directly connected by a link to one or more unsolved nodes provides one candidate-the unsolved node with the shortest connecting link. (Ties provide additional candidates).
iv. Calculation of nth nearest node: For each solved node and its candidates, add the distances between them and the distance of the shortest path from the origin to this solved node, the candidate with the smallest total distance is the nth nearest node, and its shortest path is the one generating this distance.

Hillier and Lieberman (2005, pp 351-356) state that, the shortest route problem can be formulated using linear programming. The model is general in the sense that it can be used to find the shortest route between any two nodes in the network. Suppose that the shortest-route network includes $n$ node and we desire to determine the shortest-route between any two nodes $s$ and $t$ in the network, the LP assumes that one unit of flow enter the network at nodes and leaves at node $t$.
Let

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\text { amount of flow in arc (ij) }
$$

$=\left\{\begin{array}{c}1, \text { if arc }(i j) \text { is on the shortest }- \text { route } \\ 0, \text { otherwise }\end{array}\right.$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ length of arc (ij)
Thus, the objective function of the linear program becomes
Minimize, $Z=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} C_{i j} X_{i j}$
The constraints represent the conservation of flow equation at each node:

Total input flow $=$ total output flow
Mathematically, this translates for node j to.
$\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { Extornal input } \\ \text { into node } j\end{array}\right]+\sum X_{i j}$
$=\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { External output } \\ \text { from node } j\end{array}\right]+\sum X_{j k}$
And $X_{i j} \geq 0$, for all $i$ and $j$.
According to Dreyfus (2002), dynamic programming was developed by Richard Bellman in 1950 and is used heavily to solve problems of inventory, shortest route, resources allocation, equipment replacement, work-force size investment etc, since the invention of the method; there have been various improvements on the method. Now computer packages such as LINGO/LINDO, TORA, Excel add-solvers etc are available for solving many complex dynamic programming problems.
Hillier and Lieberman (2001, pp553-568), Wayne (2003), Taha (2007, pp. 235-295, 405-425), Bertsekas and Dimitri (1976), Gupta and Hira (2012, p 639) and Sharma (2011), all attested that, dynamic programming method has wide variety of applications such as solving problems of inventory, shortest route, resources allocation, equipment replacement, workforce size etc. According to Hillier and Lieberman (2001, pp 538-541), the stage coach problem (shortest route) is a literal prototype of dynamic programming problems, therefore, one way to recognize a situation that can be formulated as a dynamic programming problem is to notice that its basic structure is analogous to the stagecoach problem. They also stated the basic features that characterize dynamic programming problems as:

1. The problem can be divided into stages, with a policy decision required at each stage.
2. Each stage has a number of states associated with the beginning of that stage.
3. The effort of the policy decision at each stage is to transform the current state to state associated with the beginning of the next stage (possibly according to a probability distribution)
4. The solution procedure is design to find an optional policy for the overall problem, i.e a prescription of the optimal policy decision at each stage for each of the possible states.
5. Given the current state, an optimal policy for the remaining stages is independent of the policy decision adopted in previous stages.
6. The solution procedure begins by finding the optimal policy for the last stage.
7. A recursive relationship
8. When we use this recursive relationship, the solution procedure starts at the end and moves backward stages by stage.

According to Wiener (1873), Tarry (1895) and Biggs et al (1976) path finding, in particular searching in a maze, belongs to the classical graph problems. They form basis for depth first search techniques. According to Leyzorek et al (1957) and Dijstra (1959), Dijkstras methods prescribes how to choose an arch with smallest length (then each arc is chosen at most once, if length are non-negative). Ferllet et al (2004), Chabrier (2002) and Roussean et al (2003) worked on ESPPRC (Elementary shortest path problems with resources constraint) pricing problems in the context of the vehicle routing problem with time windows (VRPTW)

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This involves development of a model of the Nigerian roads network system of motorable roads from coastal towns through hinterland to extreme town and time taken for any delay on a particular route were converted to distance and were added to the original distance thereafter, the traditional dynamic programming technique and a computer package (TORA) were used to analyze the data. Also for the sake of this study, all the affected routes are represented with the following colours for easy identification:

1. Black-all routes on the initial network
2. Brown -route untarred
3. Yellow - route with bad bridge
4. Red - route with terrorist activities
5. Orange - route with advantages
a. New road-Green
b. Old but still good -purple
c. Old road with narrow bridge - blue
d. Old dilapidated road- yellow
e. Untarred road- tan
f. Marshy terrain roads- pink
g. Unpassable road-dark red
6. Blue -optimal route
7. Green -superimposed optimal route

## Types of Data Collected

The data used were secondary: the data were collected from the records of government agencies, modeled and tabulated.

## Method of Derivation of Solution and Analysis

Tabulated data were analyzed using the traditional dynamic programming technique and were also fed into the computer package software TORA and run several times then, the two results were compared, which the two results were the same.

## Analysis

2. The penalty attached to the untarred roads:
i. Fairly Motorable, $p_{I I}=1 / 4 \mathrm{~km}$ is added to every kilometer $\Rightarrow P_{11}=X_{i}+1 / 4 X_{i}$
ii. Maneuver with great difficulty, $P_{12}=1 / 2 K M$ is added to every Kilometer $P_{12}=X_{i}+1 / 2 X_{i}$ are roads or routes since all


Fig. 1. Nigerian Roads Network (model) from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri)


Fig. 2. Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) under normal circumstances


Fig. 3. Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Untared Roads


Fig.4. Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Bad Bridges


Fig. 5. Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with frequent armed robbery attacks, Boko Haram Insurgences and Kidnappings




Fig.7. Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Figs. 2, 3 and 4 above superimposed




Fig. 7. Shortest-route of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Untared Roads


Fig. 8. Shortest-route of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Bad Bridges

 attacks, Boko Haram Insurgences and Kidnappings


Fig. 11. Shortest-route of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) based on Advantages of cost/damage on the route



Tables of Nigerian Roads Distances from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) under normal circumstances are obtained from Fig. 2 source from Nigeria traveler's maps

Table 1. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 101 | 141 | 284 | 270 | 320 | 574 | 709 | 611 | 782 |
| 2 | 472 | 430 | 386 | 300 | 130 | 77 | 230 | 140 | 280 |
| 3 | 671 | 656 | 551 | 465 | 295 | 112 | 120 | 114 | 205 |
| 4 | 764 | 518 | 691 | 580 | 490 | 200 | 67 | 151 | 0 |

Table 1. stage 2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 77 | 183 | 283 | 262 | 314 | 507 | 595 | 764 |
| 6 | 0 | 106 | 176 | 185 | 300 | 465 | 582 | 518 |
| 7 | 106 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 907 | 421 | 526 | 691 |
| 8 | 155 | 86 | 50 | 0 | 220 | 335 | 490 | 630 |
| 9 | 300 | 907 | 220 | 120 | 0 | 165 | 279 | 490 |
| 10 | 555 | 463 | 427 | 377 | 240 | 90 | 60 | 200 |
| 11 | 676 | 599 | 578 | 528 | 375 | 195 | 88 | 67 |
| 12 | 582 | 526 | 490 | 440 | 279 | 105 | 0 | 151 |
| 13 | 518 | 691 | 630 | 580 | 490 | 260 | 51 | 0 |

Table 1. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 162 | 584 | 558 | 640 | 518 |
| 15 | 115 | 313 | 486 | 568 | 691 |
| 16 | 145 | 213 | 480 | 532 | 630 |
| 17 | 195 | 202 | 400 | 482 | 580 |
| 18 | 399 | 287 | 254 | 312 | 490 |
| 19 | 530 | 326 | 65 | 147 | 260 |
| 20 | 770 | 405 | 118 | 136 | 151 |
| 21 | 849 | 579 | 276 | 174 | 0 |

Table 1. stage4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | 334 | 482 | 643 | 653 | 667 | 563 |
| 23 | 307 | 193 | 346 | 310 | 392 | 311 |
| 24 | 598 | 393 | 365 | 0 | 52 | 266 |
| 25 | 646 | 490 | 315 | 82 | 0 | 216 |
| 26 | 860 | 729 | 490 | 276 | 174 | 532 |

Table 1. stage 5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | 509 | 292 | 156 | 331 | 440 |
| 28 | 665 | 186 | 0 | 175 | 280 |
| 29 | 540 | 358 | 175 | 0 | 99 |
| 30 | 1128 | 772 | 393 | 365 | 266 |
| 31 | 1155 | 673 | 490 | 315 | 216 |
| 32 | 939 | 500 | 280 | 99 | 0 |

Table 1. stage6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | 139 | 480 | 748 | 844 | 1143 |
| 34 | 335 | 267 | 453 | 625 | 408 |
| 35 | 519 | 0 | 186 | 358 | 697 |
| 36 | 821 | 302 | 297 | 206 | 495 |
| 37 | 1290 | 677 | 625 | 450 | 0 |

Table 1. stage 7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | 219 | 380 | 480 | 546 | 644 | 1143 |
| 39 | 0 | 207 | 267 | 292 | 429 | 408 |
| 40 | 267 | 225 | 0 | 260 | 302 | 697 |
| 41 | 429 | 592 | 302 | 291 | 0 | 495 |
| 42 | 924 | 958 | 692 | 786 | 495 | 0 |

Table 1. stage8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 207 | 292 | 592 | 408 |
| 44 | 0 | 172 | 518 | 958 |
| 45 | 428 | 260 | 410 | 697 |
| 46 | 172 | 0 | 301 | 786 |
| 47 | 592 | 291 | 130 | 495 |
| 48 | 958 | 786 | 408 | 0 |

Table 1. stage9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 302 | 518 | 675 | 875 |
| 50 | 130 | 301 | 457 | 711 |
| 51 | 170 | 0 | 155 | 416 |
| 52 | 541 | 408 | 253 | 153 |

Table 1. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 0 | 109 | 288 | 550 |
| 54 | 109 | 0 | 155 | 416 |
| 55 | 288 | 115 | 0 | 262 |
| 56 | 550 | 416 | 262 | 0 |

Table 1. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 303 | 288 | 550 |
| 58 | 321 | 155 | 416 |
| 59 | 266 | 0 | 262 |
| 60 | 528 | 262 | 0 |

Table 1. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 133 |
| 62 | 318 |
| 63 | 436 |

the roads (routes) considered in this research are tarred the result is the same with that of all condition been equal (that is under normal circumstance) as is in " 1 " above

Tables of Nigerian Roads Distances from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Untared Roads are obtained from Fig. 3 source from Nigeria traveler's maps

Table 2. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 101 | 141 | 284 | 270 | 320 | 574 | 709 | 611 | 782 |
| 2 | 472 | 430 | 386 | 300 | 130 | 77 | 230 | 140 | 280 |
| 3 | 671 | 656 | 551 | 465 | 295 | 112 | 120 | 114 | 205 |
| 4 | 764 | 518 | 691 | 580 | 490 | 200 | 67 | 151 | 0 |

Table 2. stage2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 77 | 183 | 283 | 262 | 314 | 507 | 595 | 764 |
| 6 | 0 | 106 | 176 | 185 | 300 | 465 | 582 | 518 |
| 7 | 106 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 907 | 421 | 526 | 691 |
| 8 | 155 | 86 | 50 | 0 | 220 | 335 | 490 | 630 |
| 9 | 300 | 907 | 220 | 120 | 0 | 165 | 279 | 490 |
| 10 | 555 | 463 | 427 | 377 | 240 | 90 | 60 | 200 |
| 11 | 676 | 599 | 578 | 528 | 375 | 195 | 88 | 67 |
| 12 | 582 | 526 | 490 | 440 | 279 | 105 | 0 | 151 |
| 13 | 518 | 691 | 630 | 580 | 490 | 260 | 51 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 162 | 584 | 558 | 640 | 518 |
| 15 | 115 | 313 | 486 | 568 | 691 |
| 16 | 145 | 213 | 480 | 532 | 630 |
| 17 | 195 | 202 | 400 | 482 | 580 |
| 18 | 399 | 287 | 254 | 312 | 490 |
| 19 | 530 | 326 | 65 | 147 | 260 |
| 20 | 770 | 405 | 118 | 136 | 151 |
| 21 | 849 | 579 | 276 | 174 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | 334 | 482 | 643 | 653 | 667 | 563 |
| 23 | 307 | 193 | 346 | 310 | 392 | 311 |
| 24 | 598 | 393 | 365 | 0 | 52 | 266 |
| 25 | 646 | 490 | 315 | 82 | 0 | 216 |
| 26 | 860 | 729 | 490 | 276 | 174 | 532 |

Table 2. stage 5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 27 | 509 | 292 | 156 | 331 | 440 |
| 28 | 665 | 186 | 0 | 175 | 280 |
| 29 | 540 | 358 | 175 | 0 | 99 |
| 30 | 1128 | 772 | 393 | 365 | 266 |
| 31 | 1155 | 673 | 490 | 315 | 216 |
| 32 | 939 | 500 | 280 | 99 | 0 |

Table 2. stage6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 33 | 139 | 480 | 748 | 844 | 1143 |
| 34 | 335 | 267 | 453 | 625 | 408 |
| 35 | 519 | 0 | 186 | 358 | 697 |
| 36 | 821 | 302 | 297 | 206 | 495 |
| 37 | 1290 | 677 | 625 | 450 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 38 | 219 | 380 | 480 | 546 | 644 | 1143 |
| 39 | 0 | 207 | 267 | 292 | 429 | 408 |
| 40 | 267 | 225 | 0 | 260 | 302 | 697 |
| 41 | 429 | 592 | 302 | 291 | 0 | 495 |
| 42 | 924 | 958 | 692 | 786 | 495 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 43 | 207 | 292 | 592 | 408 |
| 44 | 0 | 172 | 518 | 958 |
| 45 | 428 | 260 | 410 | 697 |
| 46 | 172 | 0 | 301 | 786 |
| 47 | 592 | 291 | 130 | 495 |
| 48 | 958 | 786 | 408 | 0 |

Table 2. stage9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 49 | 302 | 518 | 675 | 875 |
| 50 | 130 | 301 | 457 | 711 |
| 51 | 170 | 0 | 155 | 416 |
| 52 | 541 | 408 | 253 | 153 |

Table 2. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 53 | 0 | 109 | 288 | 550 |
| 54 | 109 | 0 | 155 | 416 |
| 55 | 288 | 115 | 0 | 262 |
| 56 | 550 | 416 | 262 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 57 | 303 | 288 | 550 |
| 58 | 321 | 155 | 416 |
| 59 | 266 | 0 | 262 |
| 60 | 528 | 262 | 0 |

Table 2. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 61 | 133 |
| 62 | 318 |
| 63 | 436 |

## 3 Bad Bridges:

i. Fairly Motorable, $P_{13}=1 / 4 \mathrm{KM}$ is added to every Kilometer of fairly Motorable bad bridges $=>X_{i}+1 / 4 X_{i}=P 13$
ii. Maneuver with great difficulty, $P_{14}=60 \%$ is added to every kilometer with bad bridges that can be maneuvered with great difficulty
$P_{14}=X_{i}+60 \%$ of $X_{i}$
Tables of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with Bad Bridges are obtained from Fig. 4 source from Nigeria traveler's maps

Table 3. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 101 | 141 | 355 | 338 | 400 | 713 | 886 | 764 | 978 |
| 2 | 472 | 430 | 483 | 375 | 163 | 96 | 288 | 175 | 350 |
| 3 | 839 | 820 | 689 | 581 | 369 | 112 | 150 | 143 | 256 |
| 4 | 955 | 648 | 864 | 725 | 613 | 250 | 84 | 189 | 0 |

Table 3. stage2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5 | 77 | 229 | 354 | 328 | 314 | 634 | 774 | 995 |
| 6 | 0 | 133 | 220 | 231 | 375 | 581 | 728 | 648 |
| 7 | 133 | 0 | 125 | 108 | 1134 | 526 | 658 | 864 |
| 8 | 185 | 108 | 63 | 0 | 220 | 385 | 490 | 630 |
| 9 | 375 | 1134 | 275 | 213 | 0 | 206 | 349 | 613 |
| 10 | 694 | 579 | 534 | 471 | 300 | 113 | 60 | 250 |
| 11 | 676 | 599 | 578 | 528 | 375 | 195 | 88 | 67 |
| 12 | 728 | 658 | 618 | 550 | 349 | 131 | 0 | 189 |
| 13 | 648 | 864 | 788 | 725 | 613 | 325 | 189 | 0 |

Table 3. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14 | 162 | 730 | 698 | 800 | 648 |
| 15 | 143 | 391 | 608 | 710 | 864 |
| 16 | 181 | 266 | 600 | 665 | 788 |
| 17 | 499 | 359 | 318 | 390 | 613 |
| 18 | 663 | 506 | 148 | 170 | 189 |
| 19 | 963 | 506 | 148 | 170 | 189 |
| 20 | 1061 | 424 | 345 | 218 | 0 |

Table 3. stage4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 21 | 418 | 603 | 804 | 816 | 834 | 1079 |
| 22 | 386 | 193 | 346 | 388 | 490 | 389 |
| 23 | 748 | 491 | 459 | 0 | 103 | 333 |
| 24 | 808 | 618 | 394 | 103 | 0 | 270 |
| 25 | 1075 | 911 | 613 | 345 | 218 | 665 |

Table 3. stage5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 26 | 636 | 371 | 195 | 414 | 550 |
| 27 | 831 | 186 | 0 | 175 | 280 |
| 28 | 1050 | 358 | 175 | 0 | 99 |
| 29 | 1410 | 965 | 491 | 456 | 333 |
| 30 | 1444 | 841 | 613 | 394 | 270 |
| 31 | 1173 | 500 | 280 | 99 | 0 |

Table 3. stage6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 32 | 139 | 419 | 519 | 1016 | 1613 |
| 33 | 480 | 267 | 0 | 378 | 871 |
| 34 | 748 | 453 | 186 | 297 | 781 |
| 35 | 544 | 625 | 358 | 206 | 450 |
| 36 | 1123 | 724 | 500 | 336 | 519 |

Table 3. stage 7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 37 | 219 | 380 | 480 | 546 | 805 | 1429 |
| 38 | 0 | 207 | 267 | 292 | 536 | 510 |
| 39 | 267 | 428 | 0 | 260 | 378 | 865 |
| 40 | 536 | 740 | 378 | 291 | 0 | 619 |
| 41 | 1155 | 1198 | 865 | 983 | 619 | 0 |

Table 3. stage8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42 | 207 | 292 | 592 | 510 |
| 43 | 0 | 215 | 518 | 1198 |
| 44 | 428 | 260 | 513 | 697 |
| 45 | 215 | 0 | 301 | 983 |
| 46 | 740 | 291 | 163 | 169 |
| 47 | 1198 | 983 | 570 | 0 |

Table 3. stage 9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48 | 302 | 518 | 675 | 1094 |
| 49 | 130 | 301 | 457 | 889 |
| 50 | 190 | 0 | 155 | 570 |
| 51 | 676 | 510 | 316 | 153 |

Table 3. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 0 | 109 | 288 | 688 |
| 53 | 109 | 0 | 155 | 520 |
| 54 | 288 | 115 | 0 | 328 |
| 55 | 688 | 520 | 328 | 0 |

Table 3. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 303 | 288 | 688 |
| 57 | 321 | 155 | 520 |
| 58 | 266 | 0 | 328 |
| 59 | 660 | 328 | 0 |

Table 3. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 133 |
| 61 | 318 |
| 62 | 436 |

4 Roads or routes with high frequency of other hazards armed robbery attacks, Boko haram insurgency and kidnapping),
$P_{15}=85 \%$ is added to every routes affected by other hazards.
$=>P_{15}=X_{i}+85 \%$ of $X_{i}$
Tables of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with frequent armed robbery attacks, Boko Haram Insurgences and Kidnappings are obtained from Fig. 5 source from Nigeria traveler's maps

Table 4. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 187 | 261 | 525 | 500 | 592 | 1062 | 1312 | 1130 | 1447 |
| 2 | 873 | 796 | 786 | 555 | 241 | 142 | 426 | 259 | 518 |
| 3 | 1241 | 1214 | 1019 | 860 | 546 | 207 | 222 | 211 | 379 |
| 4 | 1413 | 958 | 1278 | 1073 | 907 | 370 | 124 | 151 | 0 |

Table 4. stage2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 77 | 183 | 283 | 262 | 581 | 938 | 1101 | 1413 |
| 6 | 0 | 106 | 176 | 185 | 555 | 860 | 1077 | 958 |
| 7 | 106 | 0 | 100 | 86 | 1678 | 779 | 973 | 1278 |
| 8 | 185 | 86 | 50 | 0 | 407 | 712 | 907 | 1166 |
| 9 | 555 | 1678 | 407 | 315 | 0 | 305 | 516 | 907 |
| 10 | 1027 | 857 | 790 | 697 | 444 | 167 | 111 | 370 |
| 11 | 1251 | 1108 | 1069 | 977 | 694 | 361 | 163 | 124 |
| 12 | 1077 | 973 | 907 | 814 | 516 | 194 | 0 | 279 |
| 13 | 958 | 1278 | 1166 | 1073 | 907 | 481 | 279 | 0 |

Table 4. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 300 | 584 | 1032 | 640 | 958 |
| 15 | 115 | 313 | 899 | 568 | 1278 |
| 16 | 145 | 213 | 888 | 532 | 1166 |
| 17 | 195 | 202 | 740 | 482 | 1073 |
| 18 | 738 | 531 | 470 | 577 | 907 |
| 19 | 981 | 603 | 120 | 272 | 481 |
| 20 | 1425 | 749 | 218 | 252 | 279 |
| 21 | 1571 | 1071 | 511 | 322 | 0 |

Table 4. stage4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 618 | 892 | 643 | 1190 | 1234 | 1597 |
| 23 | 572 | 357 | 346 | 574 | 392 | 311 |
| 24 | 1106 | 727 | 675 | 0 | 152 | 492 |
| 25 | 1195 | 907 | 315 | 152 | 0 | 216 |
| 26 | 1591 | 1349 | 907 | 511 | 322 | 984 |

Table 4. stage 5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 942 | 549 | 289 | 612 | 814 |
| 27 | 1230 | 344 | 0 | 324 | 518 |
| 28 | 1554 | 662 | 324 | 0 | 183 |
| 29 | 2087 | 1428 | 727 | 675 | 492 |
| 30 | 2137 | 1245 | 907 | 583 | 400 |
| 31 | 1737 | 925 | 518 | 183 | 0 |

Table 4. stage 6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32 | 257 | 335 | 960 | 1519 | 863 |
| 33 | 888 | 494 | 0 | 1034 | 1289 |
| 34 | 1384 | 838 | 344 | 549 | 1156 |
| 35 | 1561 | 1156 | 662 | 381 | 833 |
| 36 | 2078 | 1339 | 925 | 622 | 768 |

Table 4. stage7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 405 | 703 | 888 | 1010 | 1191 | 2115 |
| 38 | 0 | 383 | 494 | 540 | 794 | 755 |
| 39 | 494 | 792 | 0 | 481 | 559 | 1289 |
| 40 | 794 | 1095 | 559 | 538 | 0 | 916 |
| 41 | 1709 | 1772 | 1280 | 1454 | 916 | 0 |

Table 4. stage8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | 383 | 540 | 1095 | 755 |
| 43 | 0 | 318 | 958 | 1772 |
| 44 | 792 | 481 | 759 | 1289 |
| 45 | 318 | 0 | 557 | 1454 |
| 46 | 1095 | 538 | 241 | 916 |
| 47 | 1772 | 1454 | 755 | 0 |

Table 4. stage9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 302 | 518 | 675 | 1619 |
| 49 | 130 | 301 | 457 | 1315 |
| 50 | 190 | 0 | 155 | 770 |
| 51 | 541 | 408 | 253 | 283 |

Table 4. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | 0 | 109 | 288 | 1018 |
| 53 | 109 | 0 | 155 | 770 |
| 54 | 288 | 115 | 0 | 485 |
| 55 | 1018 | 770 | 485 | 0 |

Table 4. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 56 | 561 | 288 | 1018 |
| 57 | 594 | 155 | 770 |
| 58 | 492 | 0 | 485 |
| 59 | 977 | 485 | 0 |

Table 4. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 60 | 246 |
| 61 | 318 |
| 62 | 808 |

5. Advantages based on cost/damage on the route for every affected route:
a. New road with no obstacles $P_{2 I}=X_{i}+0 \%$ of $X_{i}$
$=>X_{i}+0 \%$
$0 \%=>100 \%-100 \%$ of goodness $=0 \%$ of badness.
b. old but good roads with minor obstacles $P_{22}$
$P_{22}=X_{i}+(100 \%-80 \%)$ of $X_{i}$
$=>X_{i}+20 \%$ of $X_{i}$
c. Good road but narrow bridges $P_{23}=X_{i}+40 \%$ of $X_{i}$
d. Old dilapidated road, $P_{24}=X_{i}+50 \%$ of $X_{i}$
e. Untarred road $P_{25}=X_{i}+70 \%$ of $X_{i}$
f. Truncated roads $P_{26}=X_{i}+80 \%$ of $X_{i}$
g. Mashy terrain roads $P_{27}=X_{i}+90 \%$ of $X_{i}$
h. Unpassable $P_{28}=X_{i}+100 \%$ of $X_{i}$

Tables of Nigerian roads distances based on Advantages (cost/damage) on the route are obtained from Fig. 6 source from Nigeria traveler's maps

Table 5. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 121 | 212 |  | 324 | 384 | 689 | 851 | 733 | 1173 |
| 2 | 566 | 516 |  | 360 | 156 | 92 | 322 | 168 | 392 |
| 3 | 805 | 787 |  | 558 | 354 | 134 | 144 | 137 | 246 |
| 4 | 1070 | 622 |  | 870 | 588 | 240 | 80 | 181 | 0 |

Table 5. stage 2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 92 | 220 | 340 | 314 | 372 | 608 | 714 | 917 |
| 6 | 0 | 127 | 211 | 222 | 360 | 558 | 698 | 622 |
| 7 | 127 | 0 | 120 | 103 | 1088 | 505 | 631 | 829 |
| 8 | 222 | 102 | 60 | 0 | 264 | 462 | 588 | 756 |
| 9 | 360 | 1088 | 330 | 204 | 0 | 198 | 355 | 588 |
| 10 | 666 | 556 | 512 | 452 | 288 | 108 | 72 | 240 |
| 11 | 946 | 839 | 809 | 739 | 525 | 273 | 123 | 94 |
| 12 | 698 | 631 | 588 | 616 | 391 | 147 | 0 | 211 |
| 13 | 725 | 829 | 756 | 696 | 588 | 312 | 227 | 0 |

Table 5. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 194 | 701 | 837 | 960 | 777 |
| 15 | 138 | 470 | 583 | 682 | 1037 |
| 16 | 218 | 320 | 576 | 638 | 756 |
| 17 | 234 | 242 | 480 | 578 | 696 |
| 18 | 479 | 344 | 305 | 374 | 686 |
| 19 | 795 | 391 | 78 | 176 | 312 |
| 20 | 924 | 486 | 142 | 163 | 181 |
| 21 | 1189 | 811 | 386 | 244 | 0 |

Table 5. stage4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 501 | 723 | 965 | 914 | 934 | 1295 |
| 23 | 464 | 290 | 519 | 370 | 470 | 467 |
| 24 | 718 | 472 | 548 | 0 | 98 | 399 |
| 25 | 775 | 588 | 473 | 98 | 0 | 324 |
| 26 | 1032 | 875 | 735 | 414 | 244 | 798 |

Table 5. stage 5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 764 | 356 | 156 | 397 | 528 |
| 28 | 998 | 223 | 0 | 210 | 336 |
| 29 | 1260 | 430 | 210 | 0 | 119 |
| 30 | 1692 | 926 | 472 | 548 | 399 |
| 31 | 1733 | 808 | 588 | 378 | 324 |
| 32 | 1409 | 600 | 336 | 119 | 0 |

Table 5. stage6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 167 | 402 | 623 | 985 | 1935 |
| 34 | 576 | 320 | 0 | 362 | 836 |
| 35 | 897 | 544 | 223 | 356 | 750 |
| 36 | 1013 | 750 | 430 | 247 | 540 |
| 37 | 1348 | 869 | 600 | 403 | 498 |

Table 5. stage 7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 263 | 456 | 576 | 350 | 773 | 1372 |
| 39 | 0 | 248 | 320 | 655 | 515 | 490 |
| 40 | 320 | 514 | 0 | 312 | 367 | 836 |
| 41 | 515 | 710 | 362 | 349 | 0 | 743 |
| 42 | 1109 | 1150 | 830 | 943 | 743 | 0 |

Table 5. stage8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 248 | 350 | 710 | 490 |
| 44 | 0 | 206 | 622 | 1150 |
| 45 | 514 | 312 | 492 | 836 |
| 46 | 206 | 0 | 361 | 943 |
| 47 | 710 | 349 | 130 | 594 |
| 48 | 1150 | 943 | 612 | 0 |

Table 5. stage9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 362 | 622 | 810 | 1050 |
| 50 | 130 | 301 | 457 | 853 |
| 51 | 190 | 0 | 186 | 499 |
| 52 | 812 | 612 | 380 | 230 |

Table 5. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 0 | 109 | 288 | 660 |
| 54 | 109 | 0 | 186 | 499 |
| 55 | 288 | 173 | 0 | 393 |
| 56 | 660 | 499 | 393 | 0 |

Table 5. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 303 | 288 | 660 |
| 58 | 385 | 186 | 499 |
| 59 | 319 | 0 | 393 |
| 60 | 792 | 393 | 0 |

Table 5. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 133 |
| 62 | 477 |
| 63 | 654 |

6. The superimposed equation for the affected routes:
$X_{i}+\left[\left(\frac{1}{4} X_{i}\right.\right.$ or $\left.\frac{1}{2} X_{i}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{4} X_{i}\right.$ or $60 \% X_{i}$ or $\left.85 \% X_{i}\right)+\left(0 \% X_{i}\right.$ or $20 \% X_{i}$ or $40 \% X_{i}$ or $50 \% X_{i}$ or $70 \% X_{i}$ or $80 \% X_{i}$ or $90 \% X_{i}$ or $\left.100 \% X_{i}\right)$ ]

Table of Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt
Calabar to Maiduguri) with Figs. 2, 3 and 4 above superimposed:
Table 6. stage 1

|  | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 207 | 331 | 653 | 621 | 656 | 1320 | 1631 | 1405 | 2033 |
| 2 | 968 | 882 | 888 | 690 | 267 | 179 | 575 | 322 | 700 |
| 3 | 1543 | 1509 | 1267 | 1070 | 679 | 258 | 276 | 267 | 472 |
| 4 | 1910 | 1192 | 1589 | 1508 | 1127 | 410 | 154 | 340 | 0 |

Table 6. stage 2

|  | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 92 | 265 | 410 | 380 | 455 | 735 | 863 | 1108 |
| 6 | 0 | 127 | 255 | 268 | 435 | 1070 | 1339 | 1108 |
| 7 | 137 | 0 | 129 | 111 | 1940 | 900 | 1125 | 1478 |
| 8 | 238 | 111 | 64 | 0 | 470 | 823 | 1048 | 1347 |
| 9 | 642 | 1940 | 470 | 364 | 0 | 353 | 597 | 1048 |
| 10 | 1277 | 1065 | 982 | 867 | 552 | 207 | 123 | 460 |
| 11 | 1690 | 1348 | 1301 | 1188 | 844 | 439 | 198 | 151 |
| 12 | 1339 | 1125 | 1048 | 1100 | 698 | 263 | 0 | 347 |
| 13 | 1295 | 1589 | 1449 | 1334 | 1127 | 598 | 393 | 0 |

Table 6. stage 3

|  | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 235 | 847 | 977 | 1120 | 907 |
| 15 | 167 | 548 | 705 | 824 | 1209 |
| 16 | 254 | 373 | 664 | 771 | 914 |
| 17 | 283 | 293 | 580 | 699 | 841 |
| 18 | 918 | 660 | 584 | 718 | 1127 |
| 19 | 1378 | 750 | 150 | 338 | 598 |
| 20 | 1771 | 932 | 307 | 313 | 347 |
| 21 | 2123 | 1448 | 690 | 435 | 0 |

Table 6. stage4

|  | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 868 | 1253 | 1125 | 1633 | 1101 | 1510 |
| 23 | 803 | 454 | 519 | 713 | 568 | 544 |
| 24 | 1375 | 904 | 1132 | 0 | 189 | 825 |
| 25 | 1486 | 1127 | 457 | 189 | 0 | 378 |
| 26 | 1978 | 1677 | 1274 | 718 | 435 | 1383 |

Table 6. stage5

|  | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 1323 | 683 | 328 | 761 | 1012 |
| 28 | 1729 | 381 | 0 | 359 | 574 |
| 29 | 1470 | 734 | 359 | 0 | 119 |
| 30 | 2933 | 1776 | 904 | 1132 | 825 |
| 31 | 3003 | 1548 | 1127 | 457 | 378 |
| 32 | 2441 | 1150 | 644 | 119 | 0 |

Table 6. stage6

|  | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 285 | 486 | 960 | 1888 | 3354 |
| 34 | 984 | 547 | 0 | 695 | 957 |
| 35 | 1720 | 1042 | 381 | 609 | 1438 |
| 36 | 1730 | 1281 | 734 | 422 | 540 |
| 37 | 2302 | 1484 | 1025 | 689 | 955 |

Table 6. stage7

|  | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 449 | 779 | 984 | 1119 | 1481 | 2629 |
| 39 | 0 | 242 | 547 | 599 | 987 | 1061 |
| 40 | 547 | 877 | 0 | 533 | 695 | 957 |
| 41 | 987 | 1362 | 695 | 597 | 0 | 1139 |
| 42 | 2125 | 2203 | 957 | 1808 | 1139 | 0 |

Table 6. stage 8

|  | 49 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 424 | 599 | 938 | 1061 |
| 44 | 0 | 396 | 1062 | 1343 |
| 45 | 877 | 533 | 943 | 957 |
| 46 | 396 | 0 | 557 | 1286 |
| 47 | 1362 | 597 | 273 | 1139 |
| 48 | 2203 | 1808 | 1061 | 0 |

Table 6. stage9

|  | 53 | 54 | 55 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 362 | 1062 | 1384 | 2013 |
| 50 | 241 | 557 | 845 | 1635 |
| 51 | 352 | 0 | 318 | 957 |
| 52 | 812 | 1061 | 658 | 360 |

Table 6. stage 10

|  | 57 | 58 | 59 | 60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53 | 0 | 202 | 533 | 1265 |
| 54 | 202 | 0 | 318 | 957 |
| 55 | 533 | 270 | 0 | 681 |
| 56 | 1265 | 957 | 681 | 0 |

Table 6. stage 11

|  | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 57 | 561 | 533 | 1265 |
| 58 | 658 | 318 | 957 |
| 59 | 545 | 0 | 681 |
| 60 | 1373 | 681 | 0 |

Table 6. stage 12

|  | 64 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 246 |
| 62 | 652 |
| 63 | 894 |

## RESULTS

According to Sharma (2011, P.765), the general recursion relationship for the problem of shortest route is given as:
$f_{i}^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Min}\left\{d\left(X_{\mathrm{i}-1}, X_{\mathrm{i}}\right)+f_{i-1}^{*}\left(X_{\mathrm{i}-1}\right)\right\} i=1,2,3, \ldots$

## Where:

$f_{i}^{*}\left(X_{i}\right)$ is the shortest distance to node $X_{i}$ at stage $i$
$d\left(X_{i-l}, X_{i}\right)$ is the distance from node $X_{i-l}$ to node $X_{i}$

Table 10A. Analysis of the Results of the Routes from Lagos to Maiduguri

| Stage No: | Lagos (normal) | Lagos (untarred) | Lagos (bad bridges) | Lagos (terrorism) | Lagos (advantage) | Lagos (superimposed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 270 | 270 | 338 | 187 | 324 | 207 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 380 |
| 3 | 202 | 202 | 253 | 202 | 242 | 293 |
| 4 | 193 | 193 | 491 | 346 | 290 | 519 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 297 | 297 | 297 | 622 | 356 | 422 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 130 | 130 | 163 | 755 | 130 | 273 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 253 | 385 | 658 |
| 12 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 808 | 133 | 241 |
| Total | 1546 | 1546 | 1996 | 3358 | 1860 | 2993 |
| Mean | 114.8333 | 114.8333 | 126.5 | 271.5 | 141.25 | 222.5833 |

Table 10B. ANOVA Result

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rows | 1436823.833 | 11 | 130620.3485 | 9.684546368 | $1.9875 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.967547 |
| Columns | 260849 | 5 |  | 3.868010252 | 0.004483913 | 2.382823 |
| Error | 741812.6667 | 55 | 13487.50303 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2439485.5 | 71 |  |  |  |  |

From Table10B above, the hypothesis above, that is F -test, shows that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cal }}=3.8680>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tab }}=2.3828$, hence we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ otherwise accept $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : at least two means are not equal $=>$ new critical path is superior to normal critical path.

Table 11A. Analysis of the Result of the Routes from Warri/Asaba to Maiduguri

| Stage No: | Warri (normal) | Warri (untarred) | Warri (bad bridges) | Warri (terrorism) | Warri (advantage) | Warri (superimposed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 77 | 77 | 96 | 142 | 92 | 179 |
| 2 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 111 | 72 | 123 |
| 3 | 136 | 136 | 170 | 252 | 163 | 313 |
| 4 | 315 | 315 | 270 | 315 | 473 | 457 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 622 | 247 | 422 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 130 | 130 | 163 | 755 | 130 | 273 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 253 | 385 | 658 |
| 12 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 808 | 133 | 246 |
| TOTAL | 1378 | 1378 | 1518 | 3258 | 1695 | 2671 |
| Mean | 112.9167 | 112.9167 | 125.4167 | 268 | 139 | 219.6667 |

Table 11B. ANOVA Result

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rows | 1436824 | 11 | 130620.3 | 9.684546 | $1.99 \mathrm{E}-09$ | 1.967547 |
| Columns | 260849 | 5 | 52169.8 | 3.86801 | 0.004484 | 2.382823 |
| Error | 741812.7 | 55 | 13487.5 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2439486 | 71 |  |  |  |  |

From Table11B above, the hypothesis above, that is F-test, shows that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cal}}=3.8680>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tab }}=2.3828$, hence we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ otherwise accept $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : at least two means are not equal $=>$ new critical path is superior to normal critical path.

Table 12A. Analysis of the result of the Routes from Port Harcourt to Maiduguri

| Stage no | PHC (normal) | PHC (untarred) | PHC (bad bridges) | PHC (terrorism) | PHC (advantage) | PHC (superimposed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 114 | 114 | 143 | 211 | 137 | 267 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 136 | 136 | 170 | 252 | 163 | 313 |
| 4 | 315 | 315 | 270 | 315 | 473 | 457 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 622 | 247 | 422 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 130 | 130 | 163 | 755 | 130 | 273 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 253 | 385 | 658 |
| 12 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 808 | 133 | 246 |
| Total | 1355 | 1355 | 1505 | 3216 | 1668 | 2636 |
| Mean | 112.9167 | 112.9167 | 125.4167 | 268 | 139 | 219.6667 |

Table 12B. ANOVA Result

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rows | 1539731.486 | 11 | 139975.5896 | 10.20766018 | $8.18038 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.967546647 |
| Columns | 254895.5694 | 5 | 50979.11389 | 3.71763014 | 0.005706047 | 2.382823301 |
| Error | 754203.9306 | 55 | 13712.79874 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2548830.986 | 71 |  |  |  |  |

From Table12B above, the hypothesis above, that is F-test, shows that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{cal}}=3.7176>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tab }}=2.3828$, hence we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ otherwise accept $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : at least two means are not equal $=>$ new critical path is superior to normal critical path.

Table 13A. Analysis of the Result of the Routes from Calabar to Maiduguri

| Stage no | Calabar (normal) | Calabar (untarred) | Calabar (bad bridges) | Calabar (terrorism) | Calabar (advantage) | Calabar (superimposed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 174 | 174 | 218 | 322 | 244 | 435 |
| 4 | 315 | 315 | 270 | 315 | 473 | 457 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 206 | 206 | 206 | 622 | 247 | 422 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 130 | 130 | 163 | 755 | 130 | 273 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 321 | 321 | 321 | 253 | 385 | 658 |
| 12 | 133 | 133 | 133 | 808 | 133 | 246 |
| Total | 1279 | 1279 | 1410 | 3075 | 1612 | 2491 |
| Mean | 106.5833333 | 106.58333 | 117.5 | 256.25 | 134.3333 | 207.5833 |

Table 13B. ANOVA Result

| Source of Variation | SS | df | MS | F | P-value | F crit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rows | 1745976.278 | 11 | 158725.1162 | 11.17575894 | $1.69498 \mathrm{E}-10$ | 1.967546647 |
| Columns | 234456.6111 | 5 | 46891.32222 | 3.301595401 | 0.011172577 | 2.382823301 |
| Error | 781144.3889 | 55 | 14202.62525 |  |  |  |
| Total | 2761577.278 | 71 |  |  |  |  |

From Table13B above, the hypothesis above, that is F-test, shows that $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cal }}=3.3016>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tab }}=2.3828$, hence we reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ otherwise accept $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : at least two means are not equal $=>$ new critical path is superior to normal critical path.
$f_{i}$ is computation from $f_{i-1}$ using starting at $i=o$, the recursive set $f_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$.
$f_{i-j}^{*}\left(X_{i-1}\right)$ is the optimal distanced for the previous stages.
Using the traditional dynamic programming and computer Package TORA (in Operation Research an Introduction $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition by Hamdy A. Taha) after feeding necessary data, the following results were obtained.

## Analysis of Superiority of New Critical Path to the Normal Critical Path

These analyses are based on the following assumptions:

1. Critical Path is the longest path and containing zero slacks
2. Data are from same normal population
3. Variances are not known.
4. $\alpha$ level $=5 \%$ or 0.05 level

## Research Hypotheses

With regard to the Superiority of New Critical Path to the Normal Critical Path from Lagos, Warri/Asaba, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri, ANOVA test was carried out.

F-statistics (ANOVA) test: two hypotheses were considered:

Null hypothesis: $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\cdots=\mu_{k}$
Alternative hypothesis: $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : at least two means are not equal, if $\mathrm{F}_{\text {cal }}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {tab }}$, we accept $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ that new critical path is superior to the Normal Critical Path otherwise reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$.

This hypothesis is applied to Tables 10 A to 13 B , which are tables of stages of the position of the towns in the same category as shown in the tables below:

## DISCUSSION

From the above analysis of the Nigerian roads network models, it is established and evidently clear that the models developed for the determination of the shortest route from coastal town through the hinterland, to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri) with respect to distance have a good result showing the intermediate cities along the shortest route. The research work has achieved its main aim among others five (5) roads network models were objectively built. Which were empirically developed and solved to obtained the optional route from coastal cities through the hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt and Calabar to Maiduguri). On a general research note, other relevant issues were isolated to give a broad spectrum of understanding of the research objectives and how they were fully achieved. These relevant research issues were set to choose a more robust model for determining the optimal and a safest route that enhance transporters, travelers, intending travelers among others from coastal towns through hinterland, to extreme town of Nigeria. In order to achieve the research objectives, some relevant and related literature were duly consulted and cited herein.

The researcher of the work done so far and how he could plan for the study in order to achieve his research objectives with ease In the nutshell, the works of, Anyanwu et al (1997), Tim (2013) dreyfus (2002), Hillier and lieberman (2001) Wayne (2003), Taha (2007) Gupta and Hira (2012), Sharma (2011) Tarry (1895), Biggs et al (1976), Dijstra (1959), Feillet et al (2004), Chabrier (2002), Roussear et al (2003) among others, were reviewed and mainstreamed into the study. Furthermore, the details methodology for the study was clearly outlined. Data were collected through documentary method a sample of 36 states and federal Capital Territory in Abuja (FCT) the relevant data were collected and objectively analyzed using dynamic programming method. The data collected and used for the study were initially graphed and displayed on a table for easy access and to facilitate analysis. The data analysis was conducted objectively and in factual manner using the graphs (Nigerian roads network models) and tables with the recursion equation:
$f_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=\operatorname{Min}\left\{d\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)+f_{i-1}\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right\}, i=1,2,3, \ldots 1$,
which gave the following results:
Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) under normal circumstances
Lagos
Lagos $\rightarrow$ Abeokuta $\rightarrow$ Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Abuja $\rightarrow$ Gusau $\rightarrow$ Kano
$\rightarrow$ Dutse $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$
Warri to Maiduguri as:
Warri $\rightarrow$ Owerri $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as:
PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

Calabar to Maiduguri as: Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2491 \mathrm{~km}$.
5.2 Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with untarred routes:
Lagos to Maiduguri as:

Lagos $\rightarrow$ Abeokuta $\rightarrow$ Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Abuja $\rightarrow$ Gusau $\rightarrow$ Kano $\rightarrow$ Dutse $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$

Warri to Maiduguri as:
Warri $\rightarrow$ Owerri $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as: PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

Calabar to Maiduguri as: Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2491 \mathrm{~km}$.
These results are same as (1) above because all the routes considered are tarred.

Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with bad bridges

Lagos to Maiduguri as:
Lagos $\rightarrow$ Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Abuja $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$
Warri $\underset{\text { Ma }}{\text { Maiduguri }} \underset{\text { Marri } \rightarrow \text { Owerri } \rightarrow \text { Umuahia } \rightarrow \text { Abakelike } \rightarrow \text { Makurdi } \rightarrow \text { Lafia } \rightarrow \mathrm{J}}{\text { as: }}$
os $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$ os $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as:
PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Makurdi $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos
$\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

## Calabar to Maiduguri as:

Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike
Makurdi $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=$ 2491 km .

## Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) with frequent armed robbery attacks, Boko Haram Insurgences and Kidnappings

Lagos to Maiduguri as:
Lagos $\rightarrow$ Ibadan $\rightarrow$ Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Go
mbe $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$

## Warri to Maiduguri as:

Warri $\rightarrow$ Owerri $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi
$\rightarrow$ Gombe $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

## Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as:

PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Gombe $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

Calabar to Maiduguri as:
Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Gombe
$\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2491 \mathrm{~km}$.
Nigerian Roads Network from Coastal Cities through
hinterland to extreme town (Lagos, Warri, Port Harcourt Calabar to Maiduguri) based on advantages of cost/damage on the route

Lagos to Maiduguri as: Lagos $\rightarrow$
Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Abuja $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maidug uri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$

## Warri to Maiduguri as:

Warri $\rightarrow$ Owerri $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

## Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as:

PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

Calabar to Maiduguri as: Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike
$\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2491 \mathrm{~km}$.
Global optimal route (when result 1, 2 and 3 above are superimposed)

## Lagos to Maiduguri as:

Lagos $\rightarrow$ Abeokuta $\rightarrow$ Akure $\rightarrow$ Lokoja $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2998 \mathrm{~km}$

## Warri to Maiduguri as:

Warri $\rightarrow$ Owerri $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi
$\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2671 \mathrm{~km}$

## Port Harcourt to Maiduguri as:

PortHarcourt $\rightarrow$ Umuahia $\rightarrow$ Abakelike $\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2636 \mathrm{~km}$

Calabar to Maiduguri as: Calabar $\rightarrow$ Abakelike
$\rightarrow$ Lafia $\rightarrow$ Jos $\rightarrow$ Bauchi $\rightarrow$ Damaturu $\rightarrow$ Maiduguri $=2491 \mathrm{~km}$.

## Conclusion

Objectively, this study has applied to all the laid down procedure to collect, analysis and interpret roads network data of the stagecoach problem which is a prototype of dynamic programming. The outcome of the analysis has produced four (4) separate mathematical models: under normal circumstances, based on obstacle, based on infrastructural development and the three (3) superimposed models which are
incorporated into dynamic programming model (recursive equation) with respect to distance. Conclusively, new critical path is superior to normal critical path and the dynamic programming model should be used for determination of the optimal route (shortest route) involving many sources and single destination and also safest route of many sources with a single destination.
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